



# Comprehensive Annual Financial Report



2016-2017

City of Dayton, Texas

*Including Auditor's Report for the fiscal  
year ended September 30, 2017*

*COMPREHENSIVE  
ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT*

of the  
**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**

For the Year Ended  
September 30, 2017

Prepared by:

**Department of Finance and Administration**

(This page intentionally left blank.)

# CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

September 30, 2017

<b><u>INTRODUCTORY SECTION</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
Letter of Transmittal	3
Organizational Chart	7
Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting	8
List of Elected and Appointed Officials	9
 <b><u>FINANCIAL SECTION</u></b>	
<b>Independent Auditors' Report</b>	13
<b>Management's Discussion and Analysis (Required Supplementary Information)</b>	17
 <b><u>BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u></b>	
<b>Government-Wide Financial Statements</b>	
Statement of Net Position	29
Statement of Activities	30
 <b>Fund Financial Statements</b>	
<b>Governmental Funds:</b>	
Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds	32
Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Position	35
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances – Governmental Funds	36
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities	39
 <b>Proprietary Funds:</b>	
Statement of Net Position	41
Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Fund Net Position	43
Statement of Cash Flows	44
 <b>Notes to Financial Statements</b>	47
 <b><u>REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION</u></b>	
Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance – Budget and Actual – General Fund	79
Schedule of Changes in Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios – Texas Municipal Retirement System	81
Schedule of the City's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability – Texas Emergency Services Retirement System	83
Schedule of Contributions – Texas Municipal Retirement System	85
Schedule of City Contributions – Texas Emergency Services Retirement System	87

# CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

September 30, 2017

	<u>Page</u>
<b><u>COMBINING STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULES</u></b>	
Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance – Budget and Actual – Debt Service Fund	91
Combining Balance Sheet – Nonmajor Governmental Funds	95
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances – Nonmajor Governmental Funds	97
Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance – Budget and Actual – Hotel and Motel Tax Fund	99
<b><u>STATISTICAL SECTION</u></b>	
Net Position by Component	102
Changes in Net Position	104
Tax Revenues by Source, Governmental Activities	108
Fund Balances, Governmental Funds	110
Changes in Fund Balances, Governmental Funds	112
Assessed Value and Estimated Actual Value of Taxable Property	114
Property Tax Rates – Direct and Overlapping Governments	116
Principal Property Taxpayers	119
Property Tax Levies and Collections	120
Ratios of Outstanding Debt by Type	122
Ratios of General Bonded Debt Outstanding	124
Direct and Overlapping Governmental Activities Debt	127
Legal Debt Margin Information	128
Demographic and Economic Statistics	131
Principal Employers	133
Full-Time Equivalent Employees by Function	135
Operating Indicators by Function	136
Capital Asset Statistics by Function	138

***INTRODUCTORY SECTION***

(This page intentionally left blank.)

May 11, 2018

Honorable Mayor and City Council  
City of Dayton  
117 Cook Street  
Dayton, Texas 77535

Dear Mayor and Members of City Council:

The City of Dayton, Texas (the "City") is required to publish each year a complete set of financial statements presented in conformity with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) and audited in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards by a firm of licensed certified public accountants. Pursuant to that requirement, we hereby issue the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) of the City of Dayton for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2017.

This report consists of management's representations concerning the finances of the City and deems the enclosed data to be accurate in all material respects and reported in a manner designed to present fairly the financial position and results of operations of all City activities and funds. Therefore, management assumes full responsibility for the completeness and reliability of all information presented in this report. The City also acknowledges all disclosures necessary to enable the reader to gain an understanding of the City's financial activities have been included.

In developing and evaluating the City's accounting system, consideration is given to the adequacy of internal accounting controls. These controls are designed to provide reasonable, but not absolute, assurance regarding the safeguarding of assets against loss from unauthorized use or disposition, and the reliability of financial records for preparing financial statements and maintaining accountability for assets. The concept of reasonable assurance recognizes that the cost of a control should not exceed the benefits likely to be derived, and the evaluation of costs and benefits requires estimates and judgments by management. We believe that the City's current system of internal controls adequately safeguards assets and provides reasonable assurance of proper recording of financial transactions.

The City's financial statements have been audited by Belt Harris Pechacek, LLLP, a firm of licensed certified public accountants. The goal of the independent audit was to provide reasonable assurance that the financial statements of the City for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2017 are free from material misstatement. The independent audit involved examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial presentation. Based on the audit, the independent auditors concluded that there was a reasonable basis for rendering an unmodified opinion that the financial statements for the year ending September 30, 2017 are fairly presented in conformity with GAAP. The independent auditors' report is presented as the first component of the financial section of this report.

As required by GAAP, management has provided a narrative introduction, overview, and analysis to accompany the basic financial statements in the form of Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A). The letter of transmittal is designed to complement the MD&A, and should be read in conjunction with it. The City's MD&A can be found immediately following the report of the independent auditors.



## Strategic Direction

The City's vision, mission, and strategy clearly define for the City staff and the community what issues are most important to Dayton.

**Vision.** The City of Dayton will be a prosperous and fiscally sound, family-oriented community with a full range of housing, business, cultural, and recreational opportunities in a safe and attractive environment for residents and visitors.

**Mission.** The City of Dayton is committed to working with citizens to preserve a sense of community and enhance the quality of life by providing friendly, personalized services for the safety and well-being of our diverse City, its natural resources, and environment in a fiscally responsible manner.

To accomplish our mission, we will commit to the following principles:

- **Fiscal Responsibility** – Continue fiscally sound local government practices through active budget controls and a focus on strategic planning within the community.
- **Public Safety** – Provide a safe and secure environment resulting from a partnership between Dayton residents and the Police Department and Volunteer Fire Personnel.
- **Emergency Preparedness** – Our Emergency Management plan is complete and all essential City staff members are in compliance with National Incident Management System standards.
- **Residential Development** – The City will work with developers to create quality housing for the increased residential needs of the community.
- **Economic Development** – The City will focus economic development efforts on increasing the commercial tax base through the attraction of companies and the expansion of existing businesses.
- **Quality Public Infrastructure and Recreational Facilities** – Provide continual cost-effective maintenance and upgrades of streets, municipal utilities, and other municipal-owned facilities to meet the service and capacity requirements of the community.

## Profile of the Government

The City of Dayton resides on U.S. Highway 90, six miles west of Liberty in southwestern Liberty County, was first called West Liberty, and was considered part of the original town of Liberty, founded in 1831. Dayton was recorded as an incorporated municipality on May 3, 1911. As of September 30, 2017, the City had a land area of 17.4 square miles and an estimated population of approximately 8,300. The City is empowered to levy a property tax on both real and personal property located within its boundaries. The City also has the power by state statutes to extend its corporate limits by annexation, which is done periodically when deemed appropriate by the City Council.

The City of Dayton has adopted a Home Rule form of government. The Council is comprised of a Mayor and five Council members. The Mayor and Council members are all elected at large for two-year terms. Elections are staggered with the Mayor and two Council members elected together in odd years and the three remaining members in the following even year. The City Manager is appointed by the Council and is responsible for implementation of Council policy and all day-to-day operations of the City.

The City provides a full range of municipal services including public safety (police and fire protection), residential solid waste sanitation services, water and wastewater services, public improvements, repair and maintenance of infrastructure, recreational and community activities, and general administrative services. As an independent political subdivision of the State of Texas governed by an elected Mayor and Council, the City is considered a primary government.

In accordance with standards established by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, the City reports all funds and account groups for which the City, as the primary government, is accountable.

## Local Economy

The Texas unemployment rate at 4.8 percent is lower than the U.S. unemployment rate of 5.0 percent. Liberty County has a slightly higher unemployment rate of 8.2 percent.

	2017	2016	2015
United States	5.0%	4.9%	5.0%
Texas	4.8%	4.8%	4.5%
Dayton-Liberty	8.0%	8.2%	6.9%

## Long-Term Financial Planning

Capital improvement projects are funded with general governmental revenues and proceeds of general obligation and certificates of obligation debt issues. The City annually adopts a Five-Year Capital Improvement Plan and regularly prepares short-term improvement plans for water, wastewater, drainage, municipal facilities, and parks. These plans are prepared by staff and presented to the City Council for review and acceptance. The plans require funding sources to be identified for each project.

For the last several years, the annual budget of the City has been able to maintain its infrastructure base for streets, water and wastewater systems, and municipal facilities through an aggressive maintenance and improvement program. A portion of general fund revenues is typically allocated to the Capital Improvement Plan each year. Debt is issued when necessary to finance long-term capital improvements.

While the economy has improved, continuing instability in the oil futures and its direct impact on our largest of sales tax payers reinforces the City's commitment to retain large cash reserves on hand to thwart any future fiscal crisis. As interest rates improve, the City's goal is to take greater advantage of the market to help diversify our investment portfolio.

## Major Initiatives

In the 2016-2017 fiscal year, the City of Dayton, completed construction of its new Public Safety Facility complex. This multipurpose site will become the new headquarters for the Dayton Municipal Court, Police Department, and Dayton Volunteer Fire Department.

## Acknowledgements

The preparation of the CAFR was made possible by the dedicated service of the entire administration. We appreciate the efforts of everyone involved. In closing, without the leadership and support of the Dayton Council, preparation of this report would not have been possible.

Respectfully submitted,



Theo Melancon  
City Manager



Rudy Zepeda  
Assistant City Manager /  
Administrative Services Dir.


(This page intentionally left blank.)

# CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS

## ORGANIZATIONAL CHART

September 30, 2017

CITY OF DAYTON  
 ADMINISTRATION AND DEPARTMENT HEAD  
 ORGANIZATIONAL CHART  
 WITH BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF  
 AREAS OF DUTIES



**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
*CERTIFICATE OF ACHIEVEMENT FOR  
EXCELLENCE IN FINANCIAL REPORTING*



Government Finance Officers Association

**Certificate of  
Achievement  
for Excellence  
in Financial  
Reporting**

Presented to

**City of Dayton  
Texas**

For its Comprehensive Annual  
Financial Report  
for the Fiscal Year Ended

**September 30, 2016**

*Christopher P. Morill*

Executive Director/CEO

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**LIST OF ELECTED AND APPOINTED OFFICIALS**  
 September 30, 2017

<b>Elected Officials</b>	<b>Position</b>
Jeff Lambright	Mayor
Dr. John S Johnson	City Councilmember
Josh Townsend	City Councilmember
Sherial L. Lawson	City Councilmember
Troy Barton	City Councilmember
<b>Appointed Officials</b>	<b>Position</b>
Theogene Melancon	City Manager
Charles Carden	Park Board Trustees
David Parker	Park Board Trustees
Howie Howeth	Park Board Trustees
Gala Robinson	Park Board Trustees
Connie Lehan	Park Board Trustees
Wendy Null	Planning Commission
Danny Jones	Planning Commission
Bob Edwards	Planning Commission
Eliza Mae Guidry	Planning Commission
Isaac Love	Planning Commission
Sharron Stovall	Planning Commission
Betty Tankersley	Planning Commission
Earnest Jones	Library Trustees
Margaret Black	Library Trustees
Renee DiSabatino	Library Trustees
Sherry Graves	Library Trustees
Kathie Laza	Library Trustees
Lynn Sturrock	Library Trustees
PamTurne	Library Trustees
Caroline Wadzeck	Library Trustees
Lisa Westcott	Library Trustees
Margaret Cantrell	Housing Authority
Tony De La Cruz	Housing Authority
Mike Graves	Housing Authority
Adam Piserelle	Housing Authority
Paula Sanchez	Housing Authority

(This page intentionally left blank.)

*FINANCIAL SECTION*



(This page intentionally left blank.)



## *INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT*

To the Honorable Mayor and  
City Council Members of the  
City of Dayton, Texas:

### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Dayton, Texas (the "City"), as of and for the year ended September 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

### **Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements**

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

### **Auditors' Responsibility**

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditors' judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the City's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

## Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City as of September 30, 2017, and the respective changes in financial position and, where applicable, cash flows thereof for the year then ended, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## Other Matters

### *Required Supplementary Information*

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the Management's Discussion and Analysis, budgetary comparison information, schedule of changes in net pension liability and related ratios, schedule of the City's proportionate share of net pension liability, and schedules of contributions, identified as Required Supplementary Information on the table of contents, be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the Required Supplementary Information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

### *Other Information*

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. The introductory section, combining statements, fund schedules, and statistical section are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not required parts of the basic financial statements.

The combining statements and schedules are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the combining statements and schedules are fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

The introductory and statistical sections have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on them.

*BELT HARRIS PECHACEK, LLLP*

Belt Harris Pechacek, LLLP  
*Certified Public Accountants*  
Houston, Texas  
May 14, 2018

***MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION  
AND ANALYSIS***


(This page intentionally left blank.)

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**  
For the Year Ended September 30, 2017

The purpose of the Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) is to give the readers an objective and easily readable analysis of the financial activities of the City of Dayton, Texas (the "City") for the year ending September 30, 2017. The analysis is based on currently known facts, decisions, or economic conditions. It presents short and long-term analysis of the City's activities, compares current year results with those of the prior year, and discusses the positive and negative aspects of that comparison. Please read the MD&A in conjunction with the City's financial statements, which follow this section.

**THE STRUCTURE OF OUR ANNUAL REPORT**

**Components of the Financial Section**



The City's basic financial statements include (1) government-wide financial statements, (2) individual fund financial statements, and (3) notes to the financial statements. This report also includes supplementary information intended to furnish additional detail to support the basic financial statements themselves.

**Government-Wide Statements**

The government-wide statements report information for the City as a whole. These statements include transactions and balances relating to all assets, including infrastructure capital assets. These statements are designed to provide information about cost of services, operating results, and financial position of the City as an economic entity. The Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities, which appear first in the City's financial statements, report information on the City's activities that enable the reader to understand the financial condition of the City. These statements are prepared using the *accrual basis of accounting*, which is similar to the accounting used by most private-sector companies. All of the current year's revenues and expenses are taken into account even if cash has not yet changed hands.

The Statement of Net Position presents information on all of the City's assets, liabilities, and deferred outflows/inflows of resources, with the difference reported as *net position*. Over time, increases or decreases in net position may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the City is improving or deteriorating. Other nonfinancial factors, such as the City's property tax base and the condition of the City's infrastructure, need to be considered in order to assess the overall health of the City.

The Statement of Activities presents information showing how the City's net position changed during the most recent year. All changes in net position are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (Continued)**  
**For the Year Ended September 30, 2017**

occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows – the accrual method rather than modified accrual that is used in the fund level statements.

The Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities divide the City's financials into two classes of activities:

1. *Governmental Activities* – Most of the City's basic services are reported here including public safety (police, fire, and EMS), public works (street and park maintenance), culture and recreation, community enhancement, and general administration (City manager, City secretary, finance, human resources, and information technology). Interest payments on the City's debt are also reported here. Sales tax, property tax, franchise taxes, municipal court fines, and permit fees finance most of these activities.
2. *Business-Type Activities* – Services involving a fee for those services are reported here. These services include the City's water distribution, wastewater collection/treatment, and refuse services.

The government-wide financial statements include not only the City itself (known as the primary government), but also a legally separate community development corporation for which the City is financially accountable. Financial information for this component unit is reported separately from the financial information presented for the primary government itself.

The government-wide financial statements can be found after the MD&A.

## **FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Funds may be considered as operating companies of the parent corporation, which is the City. They are usually segregated for specific activities or objectives. The City uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal reporting requirements. The two categories of City funds are governmental and proprietary.

### **Governmental Funds**

Governmental funds are used to account for essentially the same functions reported as governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. However, unlike the government-wide financial statements, governmental fund financial statements focus on *near-term inflows and outflows of spendable resources*, as well as *on balances of spendable resources* available at the end of the year. Such information may be useful in evaluating the City's near-term financing requirements.

Because the focus of governmental funds is narrower than that of the government-wide financial statements, it is useful to compare the information presented for *governmental funds* with similar information presented for *governmental activities* in the government-wide financial statements. By doing so, readers may better understand the long-term impact of the government's near-term financing decisions. Both the governmental fund balance sheet and the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances provide a reconciliation to facilitate this comparison between *governmental funds* and *governmental activities*.

The City maintains five individual governmental funds. Information is presented separately in the governmental fund balance sheet and in the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances for the general fund, debt service fund, and capital projects fund, which are considered to be major funds for reporting purposes.

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (Continued)**  
**For the Year Ended September 30, 2017**

The City adopts an annual appropriated budget for its general fund, debt service fund, and hotel and motel tax fund. Budgetary comparison schedules have been provided for these funds to demonstrate compliance with these budgets.

**Proprietary Funds**

The City maintains two types of proprietary funds: enterprise and internal service funds. Enterprise funds are used to report the same functions presented as business-type activities in the government-wide financial statements. The City uses enterprise funds to account for its water distribution, wastewater collection/treatment, water and sewer impact, water construction operations, and refuse services. The proprietary fund financial statements provide separate information for the water and sewer fund and refuse fund. The basic proprietary fund financial statements can be found in the basic financial statements of this report.

Internal service funds are an accounting device used to accumulate and allocate costs internally among the City's various functions. The City uses an internal service fund to account for its employees benefit program. Because these services predominantly benefit governmental rather than business-type functions, this has been included within governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements.

**Notes to Financial Statements**

The notes to the financial statements provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and fund financial statements. The notes are the last section of the basic financial statements.

**Other Information**

In addition to the basic financial statements, MD&A, and accompanying notes, this report also presents certain Required Supplementary Information (RSI). The RSI includes a budgetary comparison schedule for the general fund and schedule of changes in net pension liability and related ratios, schedule of the City's proportionate share of the net pension liability, and schedules of contributions for the Texas Municipal Retirement System and the Texas Emergency Services Retirement System. RSI can be found after the notes to the financial statements.

**GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS**

As noted earlier, net position may serve over time as a useful indicator of the City's financial position. For the City, assets and deferred outflows of resources exceed liabilities and deferred inflows of resources by \$27,412,910, as of September 30, 2017 for the primary government.

A portion of the City's net position, \$17,988,088, reflects its investments in capital assets (e.g., land, City hall, police station, streets, and drainage systems, as well as the public works facilities), less any debt used to acquire those assets that is still outstanding. The City uses these capital assets to provide services to citizens; consequently, these assets are not available for future spending. Although the City's investment in its capital assets is reported net of related debt, it should be noted that the resources needed to repay this debt must be provided from other sources, since the assets themselves cannot be used to liquidate these liabilities.



**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (Continued)**  
For the Year Ended September 30, 2017

**Statement of Net Position:**

The following table reflects the condensed Statement of Net Position:

	2017			2016		
	Governmental Activities	Business-Type Activities	Total	Governmental Activities	Business-Type Activities	Total
Current and other assets	\$ 7,201,190	\$ 4,854,092	\$ 12,055,282	\$ 15,084,114	\$ 3,994,332	\$ 19,078,446
Capital assets, net	26,894,787	15,797,601	42,692,388	20,179,152	16,606,694	36,785,846
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>34,095,977</b>	<b>20,651,693</b>	<b>54,747,670</b>	<b>35,263,266</b>	<b>20,601,026</b>	<b>55,864,292</b>
Deferred charge on refunding	206,577	-	206,577	1,582	-	1,582
Deferred outflows - pensions	548,656	122,205	670,861	586,915	135,368	722,283
<b>Total Deferred Outflows of Resources</b>	<b>755,233</b>	<b>122,205</b>	<b>877,438</b>	<b>588,497</b>	<b>135,368</b>	<b>723,865</b>
Other liabilities	980,241	409,248	1,389,489	1,174,236	528,800	1,703,036
Long-term liabilities	19,181,368	7,637,379	26,818,747	19,653,938	7,847,868	27,501,806
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>20,161,609</b>	<b>8,046,627</b>	<b>28,208,236</b>	<b>20,828,174</b>	<b>8,376,668</b>	<b>29,204,842</b>
Deferred inflows - pensions	2,979	983	3,962	7,585	1,869	9,454
<b>Total Deferred Inflows of Resources</b>	<b>2,979</b>	<b>983</b>	<b>3,962</b>	<b>7,585</b>	<b>1,869</b>	<b>9,454</b>
<b>Net Position:</b>						
Net investment in capital assets	9,583,333	8,404,755	17,988,088	6,558,718	8,972,226	15,530,944
Restricted	2,158,771	-	2,158,771	6,344,697	-	6,344,697
Unrestricted	2,944,518	4,321,533	7,266,051	2,112,589	3,385,631	5,498,220
<b>Total Net Position</b>	<b>\$ 14,686,622</b>	<b>\$ 12,726,288</b>	<b>\$ 27,412,910</b>	<b>\$ 15,016,004</b>	<b>\$ 12,357,857</b>	<b>\$ 27,373,861</b>

A portion of the City's net position, \$2,158,771 or 7.9 percent, represents resources that are subject to external restriction on how they may be used. The remaining balance of unrestricted net position, \$7,266,051, may be used to meet the City's ongoing obligation to citizens and creditors. The City's total net position increased by \$39,049 as compared to the prior year.

Compared to the prior year, current assets decreased by \$7,023,164 while noncurrent assets increased \$5,906,542. These variances are largely attributable to current year capital projects. The decrease in current assets was mainly attributed to decreases in cash as payment for capital project related items. Current liabilities decreased \$313,547 compared to the prior year due to a decrease in accounts payable and other short term payable items.

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (Continued)**  
For the Year Ended September 30, 2017

**Statement of Activities:**


The following table provides a summary of the City's changes in net position:

	2017			2016		
	Governmental Activities	Business-Type Activities	Total Primary Government	Governmental Activities	Business-Type Activities	Total Primary Government
<b>Revenues</b>						
Program revenues:						
Charges for services	\$ 438,116	\$ 4,925,811	\$ 5,363,927	\$ 523,725	\$ 4,455,061	\$ 4,978,786
Grants and contributions	438,513	-	438,513	140,542	-	140,542
General revenues:						
Property taxes	4,503,923	-	4,503,923	5,123,388	-	5,123,388
Sales taxes	1,455,191	-	1,455,191	1,428,294	-	1,428,294
Franchise and local taxes	844,839	-	844,839	816,409	-	816,409
Investment income	59,747	17,968	77,715	52,876	11,529	64,405
Other revenues	152,086	72,973	225,059	122,032	34,907	156,939
<b>Total Revenues</b>	<b>7,892,415</b>	<b>5,016,752</b>	<b>12,909,167</b>	<b>8,207,266</b>	<b>4,501,497</b>	<b>12,708,763</b>
<b>Expenses</b>						
General government	2,292,956	-	2,292,956	1,550,223	-	1,550,223
Public safety	2,338,072	-	2,338,072	2,792,742	-	2,792,742
Public works	1,407,482	-	1,407,482	1,437,126	-	1,437,126
Culture and recreation	656,111	-	656,111	522,139	-	522,139
Community enhancement	1,034,674	-	1,034,674	860,409	-	860,409
Interest and fees on debt	669,299	-	669,299	708,990	-	708,990
Water and sewer	-	3,431,061	3,431,061	-	3,625,937	3,625,937
Refuse	-	1,040,464	1,040,464	-	932,668	932,668
<b>Total Expenses</b>	<b>8,398,593</b>	<b>4,471,525</b>	<b>12,870,118</b>	<b>7,871,629</b>	<b>4,558,605</b>	<b>12,430,234</b>
<b>Change in Net Position Before Transfers</b>						
Transfers	(506,178)	545,227	39,049	335,637	(57,108)	278,529
<b>Total Transfers and Capital Contributions</b>	<b>176,796</b>	<b>(176,796)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>693,928</b>	<b>(693,928)</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>Change in Net Position</b>	<b>(329,382)</b>	<b>368,431</b>	<b>39,049</b>	<b>1,029,565</b>	<b>(751,036)</b>	<b>278,529</b>
Beginning net position	15,016,004	12,357,857	27,373,861	13,986,439	13,108,893	27,095,332
<b>Ending Net Position</b>	<b>\$ 14,686,622</b>	<b>\$ 12,726,288</b>	<b>\$ 27,412,910</b>	<b>\$ 15,016,004</b>	<b>\$ 12,357,857</b>	<b>\$ 27,373,861</b>


**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (Continued)**  
**For the Year Ended September 30, 2017**

Graphic presentations of selected data from the summary tables follow to assist in the analysis of the City's activities.


**Governmental Activities - Expenses**



**Governmental Activities - Revenues**



**Business-Type Activities - Revenues and Expenses**



**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (Continued)**  
**For the Year Ended September 30, 2017**

For the year ended September 30, 2017, revenues from governmental activities totaled \$7,892,415. Compared to the prior year, governmental revenues decreased by \$314,851. This decrease was largely due to a decrease in property tax revenues, which was the result of a decrease in property tax valuations in the current year. This decrease was partially offset by an increase in sales tax revenues from an increase of consumer activity during the fiscal year, along with an increase in grants and contributions related to a public safety grant.

For the year ended September 30, 2017, expenses from governmental activities totaled \$8,398,593, which was an increase of \$526,964 compared to the prior year. This increase is primarily due to an increase in personnel-related costs, pension expense, and noncapital projects.

For the year ended September 30, 2017, charges for services related to business-type activities totaled \$4,925,811. This is an increase of \$470,750 or 10.6 percent from the previous year due to an increase in total customers and gallons consumed. Business-type expenses totaled \$4,471,525, which is a decrease of \$87,080 from the prior year. This decrease is largely due to a decrease in personnel costs and pension expense.

**FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF THE CITY'S FUNDS**

As noted earlier, fund accounting is used to demonstrate and ensure compliance with finance-related legal requirements.

**Governmental Funds** – The focus of the City's governmental funds is to provide information on near-term inflows, outflows, and balances of spendable resources. Such information is useful in assessing the City's financing requirements. In particular, unassigned fund balance may serve as a useful measure of the City's net resources available for spending at the end of the year.

As of the end of the year, the City's governmental funds reflect a combined fund balance of \$5,610,540. Of this, \$8,404 is nonspendable for prepaid items and inventory, and \$2,158,771 is restricted for various purposes. Unassigned fund balance totaled \$3,443,365 as of year end. There was a combined decrease in governmental fund balances of \$7,759,842 from the prior year.

The general fund is the chief operating fund of the City. At the end of the current year, unassigned fund balance of the general fund was \$3,443,365, while total fund balance reached \$3,533,987. As a measure of the general fund's liquidity, it may be useful to compare both unassigned fund balance and total fund balance to total fund expenditures. Unassigned and total fund balance represents approximately 46 percent of total general fund expenditures.

Compared to the prior year, general fund revenues decreased \$598,494. This decrease is primarily due to a decrease in property tax revenue based on lower property valuations. The decrease is partially offset by increases to sales and franchise taxes due to growth within the City. Expenditures increased \$707,442 compared to the prior year. This increase is primarily due to an increase in personnel costs and public safety expenditures.

The debt service fund has a total fund balance of \$1,841,424, all of which is restricted for the payment of debt service. The net increase in fund balance during the current year was \$101,674. This increase can be attributed to a transfer in from the water and sewer fund.

The capital project fund has a total fund balance of \$104,688, all of which is restricted for capital projects. The net decrease in fund balance during the fiscal year was \$4,271,130 due to the construction of a public safety facilities building.

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (Continued)**  
**For the Year Ended September 30, 2017**

**Proprietary Funds** – The City's proprietary funds provide the same type of information found in the government-wide financial statements, but in more detail.

**GENERAL FUND BUDGETARY HIGHLIGHTS**

There had been a planned decrease in budgeted fund balance in the amount of \$6,163,835 in the general fund. The net change in the general fund balance was a decrease of \$3,589,405. Actual general fund revenues were less than the amended budgeted revenues by \$227,413 during 2017 due primarily to negative budget variances in property taxes and sales tax revenues. Actual expenditures were lower than total budgeted amounts by \$301,843. Positive expenditure budget variances were recognized by all departments. The positive budget variances were due to several factors including the City's practice of budgeting personnel costs conservatively high.

**CAPITAL ASSETS**

As of the end of the year, the City's governmental and business-type activities had invested \$42,692,388 in a variety of capital assets and infrastructure, net of accumulated depreciation. This represents a net increase of \$5,906,542.

Major capital asset events during the year include the following:

- Public safety facility building for \$10,549,461
- Three new police vehicles for \$96,746
- Fiber optic network cables for \$101,452
- Water meter reader truck for \$19,850
- New street sweeper for \$188,979

More detailed information about the City's capital assets is presented in note III.C. to the financial statements.

**LONG-TERM DEBT**

At the end of the current year, the City had total general obligation bonds, certificates of obligation, tax anticipation notes, and capital leases outstanding of \$24,813,854. Of this amount, \$17,165,000 was general obligation debt, certificates of obligation accounted for \$6,680,000, \$815,000 for tax anticipation notes, and capital leases totaled \$153,854.

More detailed information about the City's long-term liabilities is presented in note III.D. to the financial statements.

**ECONOMIC FACTORS AND NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET**

For fiscal year 2018, the City approved a \$13,803,577 revenue budget and a \$14,684,318 expense/expenditure budget. General fund revenues were budgeted at \$6,833,024 while expenditures were budgeted at \$6,822,924. The water and sewer fund revenues were budgeted at \$4,151,600 while the expenses were budgeted at \$3,228,916. The property tax rate will decrease to \$0.6700. Property tax revenues were budgeted at \$3,285,600.

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (Continued)**  
**For the Year Ended September 30, 2017**

for fiscal year 2018. Sales tax is the second largest revenue source in the general fund, making up 23 percent of the City's general fund budgeted revenue.

The City's financial stability remains strong and City management and Council are in constant review of the City's fund balance to ensure that there are sufficient funds always on hand to cover any unplanned events.

**CONTACTING THE CITY'S FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT**

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the City's finances. Questions concerning this report or requests for additional financial information should be directed to Rudy Zepeda, Director of Finance, City of Dayton, 117 Cook Street, Dayton, Texas 77535.

(This page intentionally left blank)

***BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS***



(This page intentionally left blank)

# CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS

## STATEMENT OF NET POSITION

September 30, 2017

	Primary Government			Component Unit
	Governmental Activities	Business-Type Activities	Total	DCDC
<b>Assets</b>				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 4,521,861	\$ 2,844,484	\$ 7,366,345	\$ 758,759
Investments	1,500,000	1,005,933	2,505,933	2,127,234
Receivables, net	1,106,221	869,819	1,976,040	139,559
Internal balances	59,963	(59,963)	-	-
Due from component unit	4,741	-	4,741	-
Inventories	7,048	91,827	98,875	-
Prepaid items	1,356	-	1,356	-
Restricted assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	-	101,992	101,992	-
Capital assets:				
Nondepreciable	1,384,811	571,034	1,955,845	-
Net depreciable capital assets	25,509,976	15,226,567	40,736,543	-
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>34,095,977</b>	<b>20,651,693</b>	<b>54,747,670</b>	<b>3,025,552</b>
<b>Deferred Outflows of Resources</b>				
Deferred charge on refunding	206,577	-	206,577	-
Deferred outflows - pensions (TMRS)	516,128	122,205	638,333	23,391
Deferred outflows - pensions (TESRS)	32,528	-	32,528	-
<b>Total Deferred Outflows of Resources</b>	<b>755,233</b>	<b>122,205</b>	<b>877,438</b>	<b>23,391</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>				
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	901,536	274,859	1,176,395	2,359
Due to primary government	-	-	-	4,741
Customer deposits	-	101,992	101,992	-
Accrued interest payable	78,705	32,397	111,102	-
Unearned revenue - other	-	-	-	-
Noncurrent liabilities:				
Due within one year	1,324,106	560,110	1,884,216	-
Due in more than one year	17,857,262	7,077,269	24,934,531	43,558
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>20,161,609</b>	<b>8,046,627</b>	<b>28,208,236</b>	<b>50,658</b>
<b>Deferred Inflows of Resources</b>				
Deferred inflows - pensions (TMRS)	2,979	983	3,962	186
Deferred inflows - pensions (TESRS)	-	-	-	-
<b>Total Deferred Inflows of Resources</b>	<b>2,979</b>	<b>983</b>	<b>3,962</b>	<b>186</b>
<b>Net Position</b>				
Net investment in capital assets	9,583,333	8,404,755	17,988,088	-
Restricted for:				
Debt service	1,841,424	-	1,841,424	-
Public safety	4,057	-	4,057	-
Capital projects	104,688	-	104,688	-
PEG Fees	78,161	-	78,161	-
Tourism	130,441	-	130,441	-
Economic development	-	-	-	2,998,099
Unrestricted	2,944,518	4,321,533	7,266,051	-
<b>Total Net Position</b>	<b>\$ 14,686,622</b>	<b>\$ 12,726,288</b>	<b>\$ 27,412,910</b>	<b>\$ 2,998,099</b>

See Notes to Financial Statements.

# CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS

## STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES

For the Year Ended September 30, 2017

Functions/Programs	Expenses	Program Revenues	
		Charges for Services	Operating Grants and Contributions
<b>Primary Government</b>			
<b>Governmental Activities</b>			
General government	\$ 2,292,956	\$ -	\$ 2,960
Public safety	2,338,072	374,383	4,655
Public works	1,407,482	63,733	430,898
Culture and recreation	656,111	-	-
Community enhancement	1,034,674	-	-
Interest and fees on debt	669,299	-	-
<b>Total Governmental Activities</b>	8,398,593	438,116	438,513
<b>Business-Type Activities</b>			
Water and sewer	3,431,061	3,724,552	-
Refuse	1,040,464	1,201,259	-
<b>Total Business-Type Activities</b>	4,471,525	4,925,811	-
<b>Total Primary Government</b>	\$ 12,870,118	\$ 5,363,927	\$ 438,513
<b>Component Unit</b>			
Dayton Community Development Corporation	\$ 759,931	\$ -	\$ -
		<b>General Revenues:</b>	
		Taxes	
		Property taxes	
		Sales taxes	
		Franchise and local taxes	
		Investment income	
		Other revenues	
		Transfers	
		<b>Total General Revenues and Transfers</b>	
		<b>Change in Net Position</b>	
		Beginning net position	
		<b>Ending Net Position</b>	

See Notes to Financial Statements.

**Net (Expense) Revenue and Changes in Net Position**

<b>Primary Government</b>			<b>Component Unit</b>
<b>Governmental Activities</b>	<b>Business-Type Activities</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>DCDC</b>
\$ (2,289,996)	\$ -	\$ (2,289,996)	\$ -
(1,959,034)	-	(1,959,034)	-
(912,851)	-	(912,851)	-
(656,111)	-	(656,111)	-
(1,034,674)	-	(1,034,674)	-
(669,299)	-	(669,299)	-
<u>(7,521,964)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(7,521,964)</u>	<u>-</u>
-	293,491	293,491	-
-	160,795	160,795	-
-	454,286	454,286	-
<u>(7,521,964)</u>	<u>454,286</u>	<u>(7,067,678)</u>	<u>-</u>
<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ (759,931)</u>
\$ 4,503,923	\$ -	\$ 4,503,923	\$ -
1,455,191	-	1,455,191	727,594
844,839	-	844,839	-
59,747	17,968	77,715	16,129
152,086	72,973	225,059	50
176,796	(176,796)	-	-
<u>7,192,582</u>	<u>(85,855)</u>	<u>7,106,727</u>	<u>743,773</u>
(329,382)	368,431	39,049	(16,158)
15,016,004	12,357,857	27,373,861	3,014,257
<u>\$ 14,686,622</u>	<u>\$ 12,726,288</u>	<u>\$ 27,412,910</u>	<u>\$ 2,998,099</u>

# CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS

## BALANCE SHEET GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

September 30, 2017

	General	Debt Service	Capital Projects	Nonmajor Funds
<b><u>Assets</u></b>				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,795,908	\$ 1,823,147	\$ 563,857	\$ 338,487
Investments	1,500,000	-	-	-
Receivables, net	866,940	228,242	-	10,051
Due from component unit	4,741	-	-	-
Due from other funds	259,620	18,277	-	-
Prepaid items	1,356	-	-	-
Inventory	7,048	-	-	-
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 4,435,613</b>	<b>\$ 2,069,666</b>	<b>\$ 563,857</b>	<b>\$ 348,538</b>
<b><u>Liabilities</u></b>				
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 441,238	\$ -	\$ 459,169	\$ 163
Due to other funds	-	-	-	217,934
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>441,238</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>459,169</b>	<b>218,097</b>
<b><u>Deferred Inflows of Resources</u></b>				
Unavailable revenue - property taxes	460,388	228,242	-	-
<b><u>Fund Balances</u></b>				
Nonspendable:				
Prepaid items	1,356	-	-	-
Inventory	7,048	-	-	-
Restricted:				
Debt service	-	1,841,424	-	-
Capital projects	-	-	104,688	-
Public safety	4,057	-	-	-
PEG fees	78,161	-	-	-
Tourism	-	-	-	130,441
Unassigned	3,443,365	-	-	-
<b>Total Fund Balances</b>	<b>3,533,987</b>	<b>1,841,424</b>	<b>104,688</b>	<b>130,441</b>
<b>Total Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Fund Balances</b>	<b>\$ 4,435,613</b>	<b>\$ 2,069,666</b>	<b>\$ 563,857</b>	<b>\$ 348,538</b>

See Notes to Financial Statements.

**Total  
Governmental  
Funds**

\$ 4,521,399  
1,500,000  
1,105,233  
4,741  
277,897  
1,356  
7,048  
\$ 7,417,674

\$ 900,570  
217,934  
1,118,504

688,630

1,356  
7,048

1,841,424  
104,688  
4,057  
78,161  
130,441  
3,443,365  
5,610,540  
  
\$ 7,417,674

(This page intentionally left blank.)

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**RECONCILIATION OF THE GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS**  
**BALANCE SHEET TO THE**  
**STATEMENT OF NET POSITION**  
**September 30, 2017**

Total fund balances – total governmental funds \$ 5,610,540

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Net Position are different because:

Capital assets used in governmental activities are not current financial resources and, therefore, not reported in the governmental funds.

Capital assets - nondepreciable

1,384,811

Capital assets - net depreciable

25,509,976

26,894,787

Other long-term assets are not available to pay for current period expenditures and, therefore, are deferred in the governmental funds.

688,630

An internal service fund is used by management to charge the costs of employee benefits to individual funds. The assets and liabilities of the internal service fund are included in the governmental activities in the Statement of Net Position.

484

Some deferred outflows, deferred inflows, and liabilities, including bonds payable and net pension liability, are not reported as liabilities in the governmental funds.

Accrued interest payable

(78,705)

Noncurrent liabilities due in one year

(1,324,106)

Noncurrent liabilities due in more than one year

(17,857,262)

Deferred outflows - pensions

548,656

Deferred inflows - pensions

(2,979)

Deferred charge on refunding

206,577

(18,507,819)

**Net Position of Governmental Activities**

\$ 14,686,622

See Notes to Financial Statements.



# CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS

## STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

For the Year Ended September 30, 2017

	General	Debt Service	Capital Project	Nonmajor Funds
<b>Revenues</b>				
Property tax	\$ 2,702,372	\$ 1,767,139	\$ -	\$ -
Sales tax	1,455,191	-	-	-
Franchise and local taxes	418,439	-	-	92,523
Licenses and permits	63,733	-	-	-
Intergovernmental	188,464	-	-	242,434
Fines and forfeitures	284,130	-	-	-
Charges for services	90,253	-	-	-
Investment income	39,677	7,616	11,951	377
Contributions and donations	2,960	-	-	-
Payments in lieu of taxes	333,877	-	-	-
Other revenue	152,086	-	-	-
<b>Total Revenues</b>	<b>5,731,182</b>	<b>1,774,755</b>	<b>11,951</b>	<b>335,334</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>				
<b>Current:</b>				
General government	2,293,082	-	-	7,000
Public safety	2,972,468	-	6,695,498	-
Public works	1,143,594	-	-	-
Culture and recreation	396,328	-	-	-
Community enhancement	210,993	-	-	275,142
Community center	398,446	-	-	-
Tourism promotion	-	-	-	54,173
<b>Debt service:</b>				
Principal	34,103	1,345,000	-	-
Interest and fiscal charges	9,156	584,367	-	-
Debt issuance costs	25,000	94,327	-	-
<b>Total Expenditures</b>	<b>7,483,170</b>	<b>2,023,694</b>	<b>6,695,498</b>	<b>336,315</b>
<b>(Deficiency) of Revenues (Under) Expenditures</b>	<b>(1,751,988)</b>	<b>(248,939)</b>	<b>(6,683,547)</b>	<b>(981)</b>
<b>Other Financing Sources (Uses)</b>				
Transfers in	3,000,000	366,030	2,412,417	-
Transfers (out)	(5,412,417)	-	-	-
Bonds issued	-	8,045,000	-	-
Payment to refunded bond escrow agent	-	(8,060,417)	-	-
Debt issued	575,000	-	-	-
<b>Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)</b>	<b>(1,837,417)</b>	<b>350,613</b>	<b>2,412,417</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>Net Change in Fund Balances</b>	<b>(3,589,405)</b>	<b>101,674</b>	<b>(4,271,130)</b>	<b>(981)</b>
Beginning fund balances	7,123,392	1,739,750	4,375,818	131,422
<b>Ending Fund Balances</b>	<b>\$ 3,533,987</b>	<b>\$ 1,841,424</b>	<b>\$ 104,688</b>	<b>\$ 130,441</b>

See Notes to Financial Statements.

**Total  
Governmental  
Funds**

\$ 4,469,511  
1,455,191  
510,962  
63,733  
430,898  
284,130  
90,253  
59,621  
2,960  
333,877  
152,086  
7,853,222

2,300,082  
9,667,966  
1,143,594  
396,328  
486,135  
398,446  
54,173

1,379,103  
593,523  
119,327  
16,538,677

(8,685,455)

5,778,447  
(5,412,417)  
8,045,000  
(8,060,417)  
575,000  
925,613

925,613

(7,759,842)

13,370,382  
\$ 5,610,540

(This page intentionally left blank.)

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,**  
**AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO THE**  
**STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES**  
**For the Year Ended September 30, 2017**

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Activities are different because:

Net changes in fund balances - total governmental funds	\$ (7,759,842)
<p>Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the Statement of Activities, the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense.</p>	
Capital outlay	7,588,624
Depreciation expense	(872,989)
<p>Revenues in the Statement of Activities that do not provide current financial resources are not reported as revenues in the funds.</p>	
	34,412
<p>An internal service fund is used by management to charge the costs of employee benefits to individual funds. The net revenue (expense) is reported with governmental activities.</p>	
	(839)
<p>The issuance of long-term debt (e.g., bonds, leases, certificates of obligation) provides current financial resources to governmental funds, while the repayment of the principal of long-term debt consumes the current financial resources of governmental funds. Neither transaction, however, has any effect on net position. Also, governmental funds report the effect of premiums, discounts, and similar items when they are first issued; whereas, these amounts are deferred and amortized in the Statement of Activities. The net pension liability and deferred outflows and deferred inflows related to the net pension liability are not reported in the governmental funds.</p>	
Accrued interest	37,340
Debt issued	(8,620,000)
Premium on bonds issued	126,633
Principal expenditures	9,114,103
Deferred charges	204,995
Net pension liability	(101,778)
Deferred outflows - pensions	(38,259)
Deferred inflows - pensions	4,606
Compensated absences	(46,388)
<b>Change in Net Position of Governmental Activities</b>	<b>\$ (329,382)</b>

See Notes to Financial Statements.

(This page intentionally left blank.)

# CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS

## STATEMENT OF NET POSITION

### PROPRIETARY FUNDS

September 30, 2017

	Water and Sewer	Refuse	Total Proprietary Funds	Governmental Activities Internal Service
<b>Assets</b>				
<b>Current Assets</b>				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,706,121	\$ 1,138,363	\$ 2,844,484	\$ 462
Investments	1,005,933	-	1,005,933	-
Restricted assets - customer deposits	101,992	-	101,992	-
Receivables, net	685,528	184,291	869,819	988
Inventories	91,827	-	91,827	-
<b>Total Current Assets</b>	<b>3,591,401</b>	<b>1,322,654</b>	<b>4,914,055</b>	<b>1,450</b>
<b>Noncurrent Assets</b>				
Capital assets:				
Nondepreciable	544,849	26,185	571,034	-
Net depreciable capital assets	14,932,098	294,469	15,226,567	-
<b>Total Noncurrent Assets</b>	<b>15,476,947</b>	<b>320,654</b>	<b>15,797,601</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>19,068,348</b>	<b>1,643,308</b>	<b>20,711,656</b>	<b>1,450</b>
<b>Deferred Outflows of Resources</b>				
Deferred outflows - pensions	112,694	9,511	122,205	-
<b>Liabilities</b>				
<b>Current Liabilities</b>				
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	185,459	89,400	274,859	966
Due to other funds	59,661	302	59,963	-
Customer deposits	101,992	-	101,992	-
Accrued interest payable	32,397	-	32,397	-
Current portion of compensated absences	15,110	-	15,110	-
Current portion of bonds payable, net	545,000	-	545,000	-
<b>Total Current Liabilities</b>	<b>939,619</b>	<b>89,702</b>	<b>1,029,321</b>	<b>966</b>
<b>Noncurrent Liabilities</b>				
Compensated absences	1,679	-	1,679	-
Bonds payable, net	6,847,846	-	6,847,846	-
Net pension liability	209,616	18,128	227,744	-
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>7,998,760</b>	<b>107,830</b>	<b>8,106,590</b>	<b>966</b>
<b>Deferred Inflows of Resources</b>				
Deferred inflows - pensions	888	95	983	-
<b>Net Position</b>				
Net investment in capital assets	8,084,101	320,654	8,404,755	-
Unrestricted	3,097,293	1,224,240	4,321,533	484
<b>Total Net Position</b>	<b>\$ 11,181,394</b>	<b>\$ 1,544,894</b>	<b>\$ 12,726,288</b>	<b>\$ 484</b>

See Notes to Financial Statements.

(This page intentionally left blank.)

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES, AND CHANGES IN FUND NET POSITION**  
**PROPRIETARY FUNDS**

For the Year Ended September 30, 2017

	Water and Sewer	Refuse	Total Proprietary Funds	Governmental Activities Internal Service
<b><u>Operating Revenues</u></b>				
Water and sewer charges	\$ 3,724,552	\$ -	\$ 3,724,552	\$ -
Sanitation	-	1,201,259	1,201,259	-
Other services	72,973	-	72,973	866,003
<b>Total Operating Revenues</b>	<u>3,797,525</u>	<u>1,201,259</u>	<u>4,998,784</u>	<u>866,003</u>
<b><u>Operating Expenses</u></b>				
Personnel services	987,344	94,536	1,081,880	866,968
Services	259,604	903,717	1,163,321	-
Supplies	116,861	6,261	123,122	-
Utilities	330,837	2,920	333,757	-
Repairs and maintenance	201,850	9,545	211,395	-
Depreciation	1,367,558	22,159	1,389,717	-
Miscellaneous	23,738	1,326	25,064	-
<b>Total Operating Expenses</b>	<u>3,287,792</u>	<u>1,040,464</u>	<u>4,328,256</u>	<u>866,968</u>
<b>Operating Income (Loss)</b>	<u>509,733</u>	<u>160,795</u>	<u>670,528</u>	<u>(965)</u>
<b><u>Nonoperating Revenues (Expenses)</u></b>				
Investment income	13,360	4,608	17,968	126
Interest and fiscal agent charges	(143,269)	-	(143,269)	-
<b>Total Nonoperating Revenues (Expenses)</b>	<u>(129,909)</u>	<u>4,608</u>	<u>(125,301)</u>	<u>126</u>
<b>Income (Loss) Before Transfers</b>	379,824	165,403	545,227	(839)
<b><u>Transfers</u></b>				
Capital contribution	-	189,234	189,234	-
Transfers (out)	(366,030)	-	(366,030)	-
<b>Total Transfers and Contributions</b>	<u>(366,030)</u>	<u>189,234</u>	<u>(176,796)</u>	<u>-</u>
<b>Change in Net Position</b>	13,794	354,637	368,431	(839)
Beginning net position	11,167,600	1,190,257	12,357,857	1,323
<b>Ending Net Position</b>	<u>\$ 11,181,394</u>	<u>\$ 1,544,894</u>	<u>\$ 12,726,288</u>	<u>\$ 484</u>

See Notes to Financial Statements.



# CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS

## STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

### PROPRIETARY FUNDS (Page 1 of 2)

For the Year Ended September 30, 2017

	<u>Water and Sewer</u>	<u>Refuse</u>	<u>Total Proprietary Funds</u>	<u>Governmental Activities Internal Service</u>
<b><u>Cash Flows from Operating Activities</u></b>				
Receipts from customers	\$ 3,392,506	\$ 1,127,889	\$ 4,520,395	\$ -
Receipts from interfund charges	-	-	-	866,990
Payments to suppliers	(1,003,904)	(917,290)	(1,921,194)	(869,059)
Payments to employees	(948,973)	(88,635)	(1,037,608)	(1,184)
<b>Net Cash Provided (Used) by Operating Activities</b>	<u>1,439,629</u>	<u>121,964</u>	<u>1,561,593</u>	<u>(3,253)</u>
<b><u>Cash Flows from Noncapital Financing Activities</u></b>				
Transfer to other funds	(366,030)	-	(366,030)	-
<b>Net Cash (Used) by Noncapital Financing Activities</b>	<u>(366,030)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(366,030)</u>	<u>-</u>
<b><u>Cash Flows from Capital and Related Financing Activities</u></b>				
Capital purchases	(391,390)	-	(391,390)	-
Principal paid on capital debt	(195,000)	-	(195,000)	-
Interest paid on capital debt	(189,891)	-	(189,891)	-
<b>Net Cash (Used) by Capital and Related Financing Activities</b>	<u>(776,281)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(776,281)</u>	<u>-</u>
<b><u>Cash Flows from Investing Activities</u></b>				
Purchase of investments	(1,331)	-	(1,331)	-
Interest on investments	13,360	4,608	17,968	126
<b>Net Cash Provided by Investing Activities</b>	<u>12,029</u>	<u>4,608</u>	<u>16,637</u>	<u>126</u>
<b>Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents</b>	309,347	126,572	435,919	(3,127)
Beginning cash and cash equivalents	1,498,766	1,011,791	2,510,557	3,589
<b>Ending Cash and Cash Equivalents</b>	<u>\$ 1,808,113</u>	<u>\$ 1,138,363</u>	<u>\$ 2,946,476</u>	<u>\$ 462</u>
<b><u>Ending Cash and Cash Equivalents</u></b>				
Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,706,121	\$ 1,138,363	\$ 2,844,484	\$ 462
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	101,992	-	101,992	-
<b>Ending Cash and Cash Equivalents</b>	<u>\$ 1,808,113</u>	<u>\$ 1,138,363</u>	<u>\$ 2,946,476</u>	<u>\$ 462</u>

# CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS

## STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

### PROPRIETARY FUNDS (Page 2 of 2)

For the Year Ended September 30, 2017

	Water and Sewer	Refuse	Total Proprietary Funds	Governmental Activities Internal Service
<b><u>Reconciliation of Operating Income (Loss)</u></b>				
<b><u>to Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities</u></b>				
Operating income (loss)	\$ 509,733	\$ 160,795	\$ 670,528	\$ (965)
Adjustments to reconcile operating income (loss) to net cash provided (used) by operating activities:				
Depreciation	1,367,558	22,159	1,389,717	-
<b>Changes in Operating Assets and Liabilities:</b>				
<b>(Increase) Decrease in:</b>				
Deferred outflows - pensions	9,569	3,593	13,162	-
Accounts receivable	(368,837)	(74,037)	(442,874)	987
Intergovernmental receivables	-	667	667	-
<b>Increase (Decrease) in:</b>				
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(71,014)	6,479	(64,535)	(2,091)
Due to other funds	19,093	302	19,395	-
Net pension liability	19,383	1,509	20,892	-
Deferred inflows - pensions	(822)	497	(325)	(1,184)
Customer deposits	(10,766)	-	(10,766)	-
Compensated absences	10,241	-	10,241	-
Accrued interest payable	(37,713)	-	(37,713)	-
Unearned revenue	(6,796)	-	(6,796)	-
<b>Net Cash Provided (Used) by Operating Activities</b>	<b>\$ 1,439,629</b>	<b>\$ 121,964</b>	<b>\$ 1,561,593</b>	<b>\$ (3,253)</b>
Noncash investing, capital, and financing activities:				
Contributions of capital assets from governmental funds	\$ -	\$ 189,234	\$ -	\$ -

See Notes to Financial Statements.

(This page intentionally left blank.)

# **CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**

## **NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**For the Year Ended September 30, 2017**

### **I. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

#### **A. Reporting Entity**

The City of Dayton, Texas (the “City”) is a Home Rule City. The City operates under a “Mayor-Council” form of government and provides services authorized by its charter. Presently, these services include: public safety (police, fire, and EMS), public works (street and park maintenance), culture and recreation, community enhancement, and general administrative services.

The City Council is the principal legislative body of the City. The City Manager is appointed by a majority vote of the City Council and is responsible to the Council for the administration of all the affairs of the City. The City Manager is responsible for appointment and removal of department directors and employees, supervision and control of all City departments, and preparation of the annual budget.

The City is an independent political subdivision of the State of Texas governed by an elected council and a mayor and is considered a primary government. As required by generally accepted accounting principles, these basic financial statements have been prepared based on considerations regarding the potential for inclusion of other entities, organizations, or functions as part of the City’s financial reporting entity. The component unit, as listed below, although legally separate, is considered part of the reporting entity. No other entities have been included in the City’s reporting entity. Additionally, as the City is considered a primary government for financial reporting purposes, its activities are not considered a part of any other governmental or other type of reporting entity.

Considerations regarding the potential for inclusion of other entities, organizations, or functions in the City’s financial reporting entity are based on criteria prescribed by generally accepted accounting principles. These same criteria are evaluated in considering whether the City is a part of any other governmental or other type of reporting entity. The overriding elements associated with prescribed criteria considered in determining that the City’s financial reporting entity status is that of a primary government are that it has a separately elected governing body, it is legally separate, and is fiscally independent of other state and local governments. Additionally prescribed criteria under generally accepted accounting principles include considerations pertaining to organizations for which the primary government is financially accountable, and considerations pertaining to organizations for which the nature and significance of their relationship with the primary government are such that exclusion would cause the reporting entity’s financial statements to be misleading or incomplete.

#### **Discretely Presented Component Unit**

##### **Dayton Community Development Corporation**

In May 1996, the City formed the Dayton Community Development Corporation (the “Corporation”), which was created by voters approving an additional sales tax. The mission of the Corporation is to promote economic development within the City and surrounding areas. The Board is composed of seven directors (voting members) and four advisory directors (nonvoting), each of whom is appointed by the City Council. The City Council may remove a Board member from office at any time without cause. Not more than three members of the Board may be a member of City Council or any other officer or employee of the City. The Corporation has been included in the reporting entity as a discretely presented component unit. The City has the ability to impose its will on the Corporation because it approves the Corporation’s budget and the City is legally entitled to, and has, complete access to the Corporation’s economic resources. As a discretely presented

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**For the Year Ended September 30, 2017**

component unit, the Corporation is reported in a separate column in the basic financial statements to emphasize that it is legally separate from the City. The Corporation is funded by the levy of one-half of one percent sales and use tax. The Corporation has a September 30 year end. Financial statements for the Corporation may be obtained by contacting Rudy Zepeda, Director of Finance, City of Dayton, 117 Cook Street, Dayton, Texas 77535.

**B. Government-Wide Financial Statements**

The government-wide financial statements (i.e., the Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities) report information on all of the activities of the primary government and its component units. *Governmental activities*, which normally are supported by taxes, intergovernmental revenues, and other nonexchange transactions, are reported separately from *business-type activities*, which rely to a significant extent on fees and charges to external customers for support. Likewise, the *primary government* is reported separately from certain legally separate *component units* for which the primary government is financially accountable.

**C. Basis of Presentation – Government-Wide Financial Statements**

While separate government-wide and fund financial statements are presented, they are interrelated. The governmental activities column incorporates data from governmental funds and an internal service fund, while business-type activities incorporate data from the City's enterprise funds. Separate financial statements are provided for governmental funds and proprietary funds.

As a general rule, the effect of interfund activity has been eliminated from the government-wide financial statements. Exceptions to this general rule are payments in lieu of taxes where the amounts are reasonably equivalent in value to the interfund services provided and other charges between the City's water and wastewater functions and various other functions of the City. Elimination of these charges would distort the direct costs and program revenues reported for the various functions concerned.

**D. Basis of Presentation – Fund Financial Statements**

The fund financial statements provide information about the City's funds. Separate statements for each fund category – governmental and proprietary – are presented. The emphasis of fund financial statements is on major governmental and enterprise funds, each displayed in a separate column. All remaining governmental and enterprise funds are reported as nonmajor funds.

The City reports the following governmental funds:

The *general fund* is used to account for all financial transactions not properly includable in other funds. The principal sources of revenues include local property taxes, sales and franchise taxes, licenses and permits, fines and forfeitures, and charges for services. Expenditures include general government, public safety, public works, culture and recreation, and community enhancement. The general fund is always considered a major fund for reporting purposes.

The *debt service fund* is used to account for the payment of interest and principal on all general obligation bonds and other long-term debt of the City. The primary source of revenue for debt service is local property taxes. The debt service fund is considered a major fund for reporting purposes.

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**For the Year Ended September 30, 2017**

The *special revenue funds* are used to account for and report the proceeds of specific revenue sources that are legally restricted or committed to expenditures for specified purposes other than debt service or capital projects. The hotel and motel tax and community development block grant special revenue funds are considered nonmajor funds for reporting purposes.

The *capital projects fund* is used to account for the expenditures of resources accumulated from the sale of long-term debt and related interest earnings for capital improvement projects. The capital projects fund is considered a major fund for reporting purposes.

The City reports the following enterprise funds:

The *enterprise funds* are used to account for the operations that provide water and wastewater collection, wastewater treatment operations, and the operations that provide garbage services to the public. The services are financed and operated in a manner similar to private business enterprises where the intent of the governing body is that the costs (expenses including depreciation) of providing goods or services to the general public on a continuing basis will be financed or recovered primarily through user charges. The water and sewer fund and refuse fund are considered major funds for reporting purposes.

Additionally, the City reports the following fund type:

*Internal service funds* account for services provided to other departments of the City, or to other governments, on a cost reimbursement basis. The employee benefit fund is used to account for insurance provided to City employees.

During the course of operations, the City has activity between funds for various purposes. Any residual balances outstanding at year end are reported as due from/to other funds and advances to/from other funds. While these balances are reported in fund financial statements, certain eliminations are made in the preparation of the government-wide financial statements. Balances between the funds included in governmental activities (i.e., the governmental funds and internal service fund) are eliminated so that only the net amount is included as internal balances in the governmental activities column. Similarly, balances between the funds included in business-type activities (i.e., the enterprise funds) are eliminated so that only the net amount is included as internal balances in the business-type activities column.

Further, certain activity occurs during the year involving transfers of resources between funds. In fund financial statements, these amounts are reported at gross amounts as transfers in/out. While reported in fund financial statements, certain eliminations are made in the preparation of the government-wide financial statements. Transfers between the funds included in governmental activities are eliminated so that only the net amount is included as transfers in the governmental activities column. Similarly, balances between the funds included in business-type activities are eliminated so that only the net amount is included as transfers in the business-type activities column.

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
For the Year Ended September 30, 2017

**E. Measurement Focus and Basis of Accounting**

The accounting and financial reporting treatment is determined by the applicable measurement focus and basis of accounting. Measurement focus indicates the type of resources being measured such as *current financial resources* or *economic resources*. The basis of accounting indicates the timing of transactions or events for recognition in the financial statements.

The government-wide and proprietary fund financial statements are reported using the *economic resources measurement focus* and the *accrual basis of accounting*. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

The governmental fund financial statements are reported using the *current financial resources measurement focus* and the *modified accrual basis of accounting*. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the City considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures, as well as expenditures related to compensated absences and claims and judgments, are recorded only when payment is due. General capital asset acquisitions are reported as expenditures in governmental funds. Issuance of long-term debt and acquisitions under capital leases are reported as other financing sources.

Property taxes, sales taxes, franchise taxes, licenses, and interest associated with the current fiscal period are all considered to be susceptible to accrual and so have been recognized as revenues of the current fiscal period. Entitlements are recorded as revenues when all eligibility requirements are met, including any time requirements, and the amount is received during the period or within the availability period for this revenue source (within 60 days of year end). Expenditure-driven grants are recognized as revenue when the qualifying expenditures have been incurred and all other eligibility requirements have been met, and the amount is received during the period or within the availability period for this revenue source (within 60 days of year end). All other revenue items are considered to be measurable and available only when cash is received by the City.

**F. Assets, Liabilities, Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources, and Net Position/Fund Balance**

**1. Cash and Cash Equivalents**

The City's cash and cash equivalents are considered to be cash on hand, demand deposits, and short-term investments with original maturities of three months or less from the date of acquisition. For the purpose of the statement of cash flows, the proprietary fund types consider temporary investments with maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents.

**2. Investments**

Investments, except for certain investment pools, commercial paper, money market funds, and investment contracts, are reported at fair value. The investment pools operate in accordance with appropriate state laws and regulations and are reported at amortized cost. Money market funds, which are short-term highly liquid debt instruments that may include U.S. Treasury and agency

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**For the Year Ended September 30, 2017**

obligations and commercial paper that have a remaining maturity of one year or less upon acquisition, are reported at amortized cost. Investments in nonparticipating interest earning contracts, such as certificates of deposits, are reported at cost.

The City has adopted a written investment policy regarding the investment of its funds as defined in the Public Funds Investment Act, Chapter 2256, Texas Government Code. In summary, the City is authorized to invest in the following:

- Direct obligations of the U.S. government
- Fully collateralized certificates of deposit and money market accounts
- Statewide investment pools

**3. Inventories and Prepaid Items**

Inventories are valued at cost using the first-in/first-out (FIFO) method and consist of supplies for infrastructure repairs and maintenance. The cost of such inventories is recorded as expenditure/expenses when consumed rather than when purchased.

The costs of governmental fund type inventories are recorded as expenditures when the related liability is incurred, (i.e., the purchase method). Certain payments to vendors reflect costs applicable to future accounting periods (prepaid expenditures) are recognized as expenditures when utilized.

**4. Capital Assets**

Capital assets, which include property, plant, equipment, and infrastructure assets (e.g., roads, bridges, sidewalks, and similar items), are reported in the applicable governmental or business-type activities columns in the government-wide financial statements. In accordance with GASB Statement No. 34, infrastructure has been capitalized retroactively. Capital assets are defined by the government as assets with an initial, individual cost of more than \$5,000 and an estimated useful life in excess of one year. Such assets are recorded at historical cost or estimated historical cost if purchased or constructed. Donated capital assets are recorded at acquisition value at the date of donation. Major outlays for capital assets and improvements are capitalized as projects are constructed.

Interest costs incurred in connection with construction of enterprise fund capital assets are capitalized when the effects of capitalization materially impact the financial statements.

The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend assets' lives are not capitalized.

Property, plant, and equipment of the primary government, as well as the component unit, are depreciated using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful years.

<u>Asset Description</u>	<u>Estimated Useful Life</u>
Vehicles	5 to 7 years
Furniture and equipment	5 to 7 years
Water and sewer system	30 to 40 years
Buildings and improvements	50 years



**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
For the Year Ended September 30, 2017

**5. Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources**

In addition to assets, the statement of financial position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred outflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, *deferred outflows of resources*, represents a consumption of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will *not* be recognized as an outflow of resources (expense/expenditure) until then. The City has six items that qualify for reporting in this category on the government-wide Statement of Net Position. A deferred charge has been recognized for employer pension plan contributions that were made subsequent to the measurement date through the end of the City's fiscal year. This amount is deferred and recognized as a reduction to the net pension liability during the measurement period in which the contributions were made. Another deferred charge has been recognized for the difference between the projected and actual investment earnings on the pension plan assets. This amount is deferred and amortized over a period of five years. A deferred charge has been recognized for the change in actuarial assumptions. This amount is deferred and amortized over the average of the expected service lives of pension plan members. Another deferred charge has been recognized as a result of differences between the actuarial expectations and the actual economic experience related to the City's defined benefit pension plan. This amount is deferred and amortized over the average of the expected service lives of pension plan members. A deferred charge has been recognized for the changes in proportion and difference between the employer's contributions and the proportionate share of contributions. This amount is deferred and amortized over the average of the expected service lives of pension plan members. Lastly, a deferred charge on refunding resulting from the difference in the carrying value of refunded debt and its reacquisition price has been recognized. This amount is deferred and amortized over the shorter of the life of the refunded or refunding debt.

In addition to liabilities, the statement of financial position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, *deferred inflows of resources*, represents an acquisition of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will *not* be recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) until that time. The City has one item that qualifies for reporting in this category in the government-wide Statement of Net Position. Deferred inflows of resources are recognized as a result of differences between the actuarial expectations and the actual economic experience related to the City's defined benefit pension plan. This amount is deferred and amortized over the average of the expected service lives of pension plan members. At the fund level, the City has only one type of item, which arises only under a modified accrual basis of accounting, that qualifies for reporting in this category. Accordingly, the item, *unavailable revenue*, is reported only in the governmental funds balance sheet. The governmental funds report unavailable revenues from property taxes. This amount is deferred and recognized as an inflow of resources in the period that the amount becomes available.

**6. Compensated Employee Absences**

It is the City's policy to permit employees to accumulate earned but unused vacation and compensatory time. Amounts accumulated may be paid to employees upon termination of employment or during employment in accordance with the City's personnel policy. The estimated amount of compensation for services provided that is expected to be liquidated with expendable, available financial resources is reported as an expenditure and a fund liability of the governmental fund that will pay it when it matures or becomes due. Amounts of vested or accumulated vacation leave that are not expected to be liquidated with expendable, available financial resources are maintained separately and represent a reconciling item between the fund and government-wide presentations.

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
For the Year Ended September 30, 2017

**7. Long-Term Obligations**

In the government-wide financial statements and proprietary fund types in the fund financial statements, long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities in the applicable governmental activities, business-type activities, or proprietary fund type Statement of Net Position. Bond premiums and discounts are deferred and amortized over the life of the bonds using the effective interest method, if material. Bonds payable are reported net of the applicable bond premium or discount.

In the fund financial statements, governmental fund types recognize bond premiums and discounts, as well as bond issuance costs, during the current period. The face amount of debt issued is reported as other financing sources. Premiums received on debt issuances are reported as other financing sources while discounts on debt issuances are reported as other financing uses. Issuance costs, whether or not withheld from the actual debt proceeds received, are reported as debt service expenditures.

The property tax rate is allocated each year between the general and debt service funds. The full amount estimated to be required for debt service on general obligation debt is provided by the tax along with interest earned in the debt service fund.

Assets acquired under the terms of capital leases are recorded as liabilities and capitalized in the government-wide financial statements at the present value of net minimum lease payments at inception of the lease. In the year of acquisition, capital lease transactions are recorded as other financing sources and as capital outlay expenditures in the general fund. Lease payments representing both principal and interest are recorded as expenditures in the general fund upon payment with an appropriate reduction of principal recorded in the government-wide financial statements.

**8. Net Position Flow Assumption**

Sometimes the City will fund outlays for a particular purpose from both restricted (e.g., restricted bond or grant proceeds) and unrestricted resources. In order to calculate the amounts to report as restricted net position and unrestricted net position in the government-wide and proprietary fund financial statements, a flow assumption must be made about the order in which the resources are considered to be applied. It is the City's policy to consider restricted net position to have been depleted before unrestricted net position is applied.

**9. Fund Balance Flow Assumptions**

Sometimes the City will fund outlays for a particular purpose from both restricted and unrestricted resources (the total of committed, assigned, and unassigned fund balance). In order to calculate the amounts to report as restricted, committed, assigned, and unassigned fund balance in the governmental fund financial statements, a flow assumption must be made about the order in which the resources are considered to be applied. It is the City's policy to consider restricted fund balance to have been depleted before using any of the components of unrestricted fund balance. Further, when the components of unrestricted fund balance can be used for the same purpose, committed fund balance is depleted first, followed by assigned fund balance. Unassigned fund balance is applied last.

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**For the Year Ended September 30, 2017**

**10. Fund Balance Policies**

Fund balances of governmental funds are reported in various categories based on the nature of any limitations requiring the use of resources for specific purposes. The City itself can establish limitations on the use of resources through either a commitment (committed fund balance) or an assignment (assigned fund balance).

Amounts that cannot be spent because they are either not in spendable form or legally or contractually required to be maintained intact are classified as nonspendable fund balance. Amounts that are externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments or imposed by law through constitutional provisions are classified as restricted.

The committed fund balance classification includes amounts that can be used only for the specific purposes determined by a formal action of the City's highest level of decision-making authority. The City Council is the highest level of decision-making authority for the City that can, by adoption of an ordinance prior to the end of the fiscal year, commit fund balance. Once adopted, the limitation imposed by the ordinance remains in place until a similar action is taken (the adoption of another ordinance) to remove or revise the limitation.

Amounts in the assigned fund balance classification are intended to be used by the City for specific purposes but do not meet the criteria to be classified as committed. The City Council may also assign fund balance as it does when appropriating fund balance to cover a gap between estimated revenue and appropriations in the subsequent year's appropriated budget. Unlike commitments, assignments generally only exist temporarily. In other words, an additional action does not normally have to be taken for the removal of an assignment. Conversely, as discussed above, an additional action is essential to either remove or revise a commitment.

**11. Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures/expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

**12. Pensions**

For the purposes of measuring the net pension liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the Texas Municipal Retirement System (TMRS) and the Texas Emergency Services Retirement System (TESRS) and additions to/deductions from TMRS's and TESRS's fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by TMRS and TESRS. For this purpose, plan contributions are recognized in the period that compensation is reported for the employee, which is when contributions are legally due. Benefit payments and refunds are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
For the Year Ended September 30, 2017

**G. Revenues and Expenditures/Expenses**

**1. Program Revenues**

Amounts reported as *program revenues* include 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function or segment and 2) grants and contributions (including special assessments) that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. All taxes, including those dedicated for specific purposes, and other internally dedicated resources are reported as general revenues rather than as program revenues.

**2. Property Taxes**

Property taxes are levied during September of each year, are due upon receipt of the City's tax bill, and become delinquent on January 1 of the following year. The City's tax lien exists from January 1 (the assessment date) each year until the taxes are paid.

**3. Proprietary Funds Operating and Nonoperating Revenues and Expenses**

Proprietary funds distinguish *operating* revenues and expenses from *nonoperating* items. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services and producing and delivering goods in connection with a proprietary fund's principal ongoing operations. The principal operating revenues of the enterprise fund are charges to customers for sales and services. The enterprise fund also recognizes as operating revenue the portion of tap fees intended to recover the cost of connecting new customers to the system. Operating expenses for the enterprise fund include the cost of sales and services, administrative expenses, and depreciation on capital assets. All revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as nonoperating revenues and expenses.

**II. STEWARDSHIP, COMPLIANCE, AND ACCOUNTABILITY**

Annual budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with generally accepted accounting principles. The original budget is adopted by the City Council prior to the beginning of the year. The legal level of control in the approved budget as defined by the charter is the department level in the general fund and all others are the fund level. No funds can be transferred or added to a budgeted item without Council approval. Appropriations lapse at the end of the year. Supplemental budget appropriations were made for the year ended September 30, 2017.

**A. Expenditures in Excess of Appropriations**

Expenditures exceed appropriations at the legal level of control in the debt service fund for payment to refunded bond escrow agent in the amount of \$8,060,417.

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
For the Year Ended September 30, 2017

**III. DETAILED NOTES ON ALL FUNDS**

**A. Deposits and Investments**

As of September 30, 2017, the City had the following investments:

<u>Investment Type</u>	<u>Value</u>	<u>Weighted Average Maturity (Years)</u>
Certificates of deposit	\$ 2,505,933	0.42
Portfolio weighted average maturity		0.42

As of September 30, 2017, the Corporation had the following investments:

<u>Investment Type</u>	<u>Value</u>	<u>Weighted Average Maturity (Years)</u>
Certificates of deposit	\$ 2,127,234	0.41
Portfolio weighted average maturity		0.41

*Custodial credit risk – deposits.* In the case of deposits, this is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the City’s deposits may not be returned to it. The City’s investment policy requires funds on deposit at the depository bank to be collateralized by securities with a collective fair value of at least 103 percent. As of September 30, 2017, the fair values of pledged securities and FDIC coverage exceeded bank balances.

*Custodial credit risk – investments.* For an investment, this is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty, the City will not be able to recover the value of its investments or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The City’s investment policy requires that it will seek to safekeeping securities at financial institutions, avoiding physical possession. Further, all trades, where applicable, are executed by delivery versus payment to ensure that securities are deposited in the City’s safekeeping account prior to the release of funds.

*Interest rate risk.* In accordance with its investment policy, the City manages its exposure to declines in fair values by structuring the investment portfolio so that securities mature to meet cash requirements for ongoing operations and invest operating funds primarily in short-term securities.

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
For the Year Ended September 30, 2017

**B. Receivables**

The following comprise receivable balances at year end for governmental and enterprise funds:

	<u>General</u>	<u>Debt Service</u>	<u>Nonmajor Governmental</u>	<u>Component Unit</u>
Property tax	\$ 459,808	\$ 228,242	\$ -	\$ -
Sales tax	364,737	-	-	139,559
Other tax	39,574	-	-	-
Other	2,821	-	10,051	-
	<u>\$ 866,940</u>	<u>\$ 228,242</u>	<u>\$ 10,051</u>	<u>\$ 139,559</u>

	<u>Water and Sewer</u>	<u>Refuse</u>	<u>Internal Service</u>
Accounts	\$ 688,383	\$ 184,939	\$ 988
Other	133	-	-
Less allowance	(2,988)	(648)	-
	<u>\$ 685,528</u>	<u>\$ 184,291</u>	<u>\$ 988</u>

**C. Capital Assets**

A summary of changes in governmental activities capital assets for the year end is as follows:

	<u>Beginning Balance</u>	<u>Increases</u>	<u>(Decreases)/ (Reclasses)</u>	<u>Ending Balance</u>
<b>Governmental Activities:</b>				
Capital assets not being depreciated:				
Land	\$ 1,384,811	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,384,811
Construction in progress	2,578,627	6,584,144	(9,162,771)	-
<b>Total capital assets, not being depreciated</b>	<u>3,963,438</u>	<u>6,584,144</u>	<u>(9,162,771)</u>	<u>1,384,811</u>
Other capital assets:				
Infrastructure	4,550,157	101,452	-	4,651,609
Buildings and improvements	14,026,437	9,111,786	-	23,138,223
Machinery and equipment	4,927,688	954,013	(22,177)	5,859,524
<b>Total other capital assets</b>	<u>23,504,282</u>	<u>10,167,251</u>	<u>(22,177)</u>	<u>33,649,356</u>
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Infrastructure	(1,095,135)	(197,177)	-	(1,292,312)
Buildings and improvements	(2,601,529)	(350,467)	-	(2,951,996)
Machinery and equipment	(3,591,904)	(325,345)	22,177	(3,895,072)
<b>Total accumulated depreciation</b>	<u>(7,288,568)</u>	<u>(872,989)</u>	<u>22,177</u>	<u>(8,139,380)</u>
Other capital assets, net	16,215,714	9,294,262	-	25,509,976
<b>Governmental Activities Capital Assets, Net</b>	<u>\$ 20,179,152</u>	<u>\$ 15,878,406</u>	<u>\$ (9,184,948)</u>	<u>26,894,787</u>
				(18,081,888)
				563,857
				206,577
				<u>\$ 9,583,333</u>

# CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Year Ended September 30, 2017

Depreciation was charged to governmental functions as follows:

General government	\$	54,821
Public safety		225,236
Public works		245,701
Culture and recreation		265,914
Community enhancement		81,317
<b>Total Governmental Activities Depreciation Expense</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b><u>872,989</u></b>

A summary of changes in business-type activities capital assets for the year end was as follows:

	<u>Beginning Balance</u>	<u>Increases</u>	<u>(Decreases)/ (Reclasses)</u>	<u>Ending Balance</u>
<b>Business-Type Activities:</b>				
Capital assets not being depreciated:				
Land	\$ 107,408	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 107,408
Construction in progress	144,202	319,424	-	463,626
<b>Total capital assets not being depreciated</b>	<u>251,610</u>	<u>319,424</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>571,034</u>
Other capital assets:				
Building and improvements	3,086,121	-	-	3,086,121
Machinery and equipment	1,843,604	251,700	-	2,095,304
Water and sewer system	28,024,687	9,500	-	28,034,187
<b>Total other capital assets</b>	<u>32,954,412</u>	<u>261,200</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>33,215,612</u>
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Building and improvements	(417,260)	(146,603)	-	(563,863)
Machinery and equipment	(1,242,237)	(121,179)	-	(1,363,416)
Water and sewer system	(14,939,831)	(1,121,935)	-	(16,061,766)
<b>Total accumulated depreciation</b>	<u>(16,599,328)</u>	<u>(1,389,717)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(17,989,045)</u>
Other capital assets, net	16,355,084	(1,128,517)	-	15,226,567
<b>Business-Type Activities Capital Assets, Net</b>	<u>\$ 16,606,694</u>	<u>\$ (809,093)</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>15,797,601</u>
			Less associated debt	(7,392,846)
			<b>Net Investment in Capital Assets</b>	<u>\$ 8,404,755</u>

Depreciation was charged to business-type functions as follows:

Water and sewer	\$	1,367,558
Refuse		22,159
<b>Total Business-Type Activities Depreciation Expense</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b><u>1,389,717</u></b>

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
For the Year Ended September 30, 2017

**D. Long-Term Debt**

The following is a summary of changes in the City's long-term liabilities for the year end. In general, the City uses the general and debt service funds to liquidate governmental long-term liabilities.

	<u>Beginning Balance</u>	<u>Additions</u>	<u>Reductions</u>	<u>Ending Balance</u>	<u>Amounts Due Within One Year</u>
<b>Governmental Activities:</b>					
Bonds, notes and other payables:					
General obligation bonds	\$ 11,025,000	\$ 8,045,000	\$ 8,785,000	\$ 10,285,000	* \$ 805,000
Tax anticipation notes	355,000	575,000	115,000	815,000	*
Certificates of obligation	6,860,000	-	180,000	6,680,000	*
<b>Total bonds, notes, and         and other payables</b>	<u>18,240,000</u>	<u>8,620,000</u>	<u>9,080,000</u>	<u>17,780,000</u>	<u>1,220,000</u>
Plus deferred amounts:					
Issuance premiums	274,667	-	126,633	148,034	*
Capital leases	187,957	-	34,103	153,854	*
Other liabilities:					
Net pension liability (TMRS)	838,765	99,177	-	937,942	-
Net pension liability (TESRS)	83,002	2,601	-	85,603	-
Compensated absences	29,547	141,945	95,557	75,935	68,342
<b>Total Governmental         Activities</b>	<u>\$ 19,653,938</u>	<u>\$ 8,863,723</u>	<u>\$ 9,336,293</u>	<u>\$ 19,181,368</u>	<u>\$ 1,324,106</u>

**Long-term liabilities due in more than one year** \$ 17,857,262

**\*Debt associated with governmental activity capital assets** \$ 18,081,888

	<u>Beginning Balance</u>	<u>Additions</u>	<u>Reductions</u>	<u>Ending Balance</u>	<u>Amounts Due Within One Year</u>
<b>Business-Type Activities:</b>					
General obligation bonds	\$ 6,880,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,880,000	* \$ 545,000
Certificates of obligation	195,000	-	195,000	-	-
<b>Total bonds payable</b>	<u>7,075,000</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>195,000</u>	<u>6,880,000</u>	<u>545,000</u>
Plus deferred amounts:					
Issuance premiums	559,468	-	46,622	512,846	-
Other liabilities:					
Net pension liability (TMRS)	206,852	20,892	-	227,744	-
Compensated absences	6,548	26,059	15,818	16,789	15,110
<b>Total Business-Type         Activities</b>	<u>\$ 7,847,868</u>	<u>\$ 46,951</u>	<u>\$ 257,440</u>	<u>\$ 7,637,379</u>	<u>\$ 560,110</u>

**Long-term liabilities due in more than one year** \$ 7,077,269

**\*Debt associated with capital assets** \$ 7,392,846



**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**For the Year Ended September 30, 2017**

The following is a summary of changes in the Corporation's long-term liabilities for the year end:

	<u>Beginning Balance</u>	<u>Additions</u>	<u>Reductions</u>	<u>Ending Balance</u>	<u>Due Within One Year</u>
<b>Component Unit:</b>					
Net pension liability (TMRS)	\$ 39,500	\$ 4,058	\$ -	\$ 43,558	\$ -
<b>Total Component Unit Activities</b>	<u>\$ 39,500</u>	<u>\$ 4,058</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 43,558</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

Long-term liabilities applicable to the City's governmental activities are not due and payable in the current period and, accordingly, are not reported as fund liabilities in the governmental funds. Interest on long-term debt is not accrued in governmental funds, but rather is recognized as an expenditure when due.

**Advanced Refunding**

The City issued \$8,045,000 in general obligation refunding bonds with an interest rate of 2.23%. The proceeds were used to advance refund \$7,735,000 of outstanding 2008 series general obligation bonds which had interest rates ranging from 4.00% to 5.25%. The net proceeds of \$8,154,744 (of which \$7,950,673 represents the deposit to escrow and \$109,744 represents the issuers contribution) were paid to an escrow agent to provide funds for the future debt service payments on the refunded bonds. As a result, the 2008 series general obligation bonds are considered defeased and the liability for those bonds has been removed from the statement of net position.

The reacquisition price exceeded the net carrying amount of the old debt by \$224,494. This amount is being netted against the new debt and amortized over the remaining life of the refunding debt. The City refunded the 2008 series general obligation bonds to reduce its total debt service payments over the next 10 years by \$1,173,394 and obtained an economic gain (difference between the present values of the debt service payments on the old and new debt) of \$1,012,990.

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
For the Year Ended September 30, 2017

Long-term debt at year end was comprised of the following debt issues:

<u>Description</u>	<u>Original Issue</u>	<u>Interest Rates</u>	<u>Balance</u>
<b><u>Governmental Activities:</u></b>			
<b>General Obligation Bonds</b>			
2008 general obligation bonds	\$ 13,255,000	4.00-5.25%	2,240,000
2017 general obligation refunding bonds	8,045,000	2.23%	8,045,000
	<b>Total General Obligation Bonds</b>		<b>10,285,000</b>
<b>Tax Anticipation Notes</b>			
2012 tax anticipation notes	\$ 700,000	1.30-2.00%	240,000
2017 tax anticipation notes	575,000	1.68%	575,000
	<b>Total Tax Anticipation Notes</b>		<b>815,000</b>
<b>Certificates of Obligation</b>			
2015 certificates of obligation	\$ 6,990,000	2.00-4.00%	6,680,000
<b>Capital Leases</b>			
	\$ 335,000	2.6-3.5%	153,854
	<b>Total Governmental Activities Long-Term Debt</b>		<b>\$ 17,933,854</b>
<b><u>Business-Type Activities:</u></b>			
<b>General Obligation Bonds</b>			
2016 general obligation refunding bonds	\$ 6,880,000	2.00-4.00%	\$ 6,880,000
	<b>Total General Obligation Bonds</b>		<b>\$ 6,880,000</b>

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
For the Year Ended September 30, 2017

The annual requirements to amortize debt issues outstanding at year end were as follows:

Year Ending Sept. 30	Governmental Activities					
	General Obligation Bonds		Certificates of Obligation		Tax Anticipation Notes	
	Principal	Interest	Principal	Interest	Principal	Interest
2018	\$ 805,000	\$ 498,105	\$ 185,000	\$ 188,619	\$ 230,000	\$ 5,128
2019	835,000	256,544	190,000	184,869	235,000	3,390
2020	870,000	224,443	310,000	179,869	115,000	1,200
2021	895,000	190,961	175,000	175,019	115,000	-
2022	920,000	163,403	175,000	171,519	120,000	-
2023-2027	4,910,000	503,924	950,000	786,453	-	-
2028-2032	1,050,000	46,551	2,640,000	565,369	-	-
2033-2035	-	-	2,055,000	92,844	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 10,285,000</b>	<b>\$ 1,883,932</b>	<b>\$ 6,680,000</b>	<b>\$ 2,344,559</b>	<b>\$ 815,000</b>	<b>\$ 9,718</b>

Year Ending Sept. 30	Business-Type Activities	
	General Obligation Bonds	
	Principal	Interest
2018	\$ 545,000	\$ 248,375
2019	565,000	193,250
2020	575,000	182,150
2021	590,000	170,750
2022	600,000	159,100
2023-2027	3,275,000	557,050
2028-2032	730,000	57,800
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 6,880,000</b>	<b>\$ 1,568,475</b>

General obligation bonds, tax anticipation notes, and certificates of obligation are direct obligations of the City for which its full faith and credit are pledged. Repayment of general obligation bonds, certificates of obligation, and tax anticipation notes are from taxes levied on all taxable property located within the City. The City has issued debt for the purpose of capital asset acquisition and infrastructure improvements.

Annual debt service requirements to retire outstanding capital leases are as follows:

Year Ending Sept. 30	Governmental Activities	
	Principal	Interest
2018	35,764	7,495
2019	37,507	5,752
2020	39,334	3,925
2021	41,249	2,009
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$ 153,854</b>	<b>\$ 19,181</b>

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
For the Year Ended September 30, 2017

The assets acquired through capital leases are as follows:

	<b>Governmental Activities</b>
<b>Assets:</b>	
Machinery and equipment	\$ 335,000
Less: accumulated depreciation	(96,313)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 238,687</b>

**E. Interfund Transactions**

The composition of interfund balances as of year end is as follows:

<b>Receivable Fund</b>	<b>Payable Fund</b>	<b>Amounts</b>
General	Nomajor Special Revenue	\$ 217,934
General	Water and sewer	41,384
General	Refuse	302
Debt Service	Water and sewer	18,277
		<b>\$ 277,897</b>

Amounts recorded as “due to/from” are considered to be temporary loans and will be repaid during the following year.

Transfers between the primary government funds during the 2017 fiscal year were as follows:

	<b>Transfers In</b>	<b>Transfers Out</b>
<b>Governmental Funds:</b>		
Individual major governmental funds:		
General	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 5,412,417
Capital project	2,412,417	
Debt service	366,030	-
<b>Total Governmental Funds</b>	<b>5,778,447</b>	<b>5,412,417</b>
<b>Enterprise Funds:</b>		
Individual major enterprise funds:		
Water and sewer	-	366,030
<b>Total Enterprise Funds</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>366,030</b>
<b>Total Transfers</b>	<b>\$ 5,778,447</b>	<b>\$ 5,778,447</b>

Amounts transferred between funds relate to amounts collected by water and sewer and the refuse funds for various governmental expenditures and debt payments.

**F. Fund Equity**

Funds restricted by enabling legislation are \$4,057, \$130,441, and \$78,161, related to municipal court technology and building security, tourism, and communications respectively.

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
For the Year Ended September 30, 2017

**IV. OTHER INFORMATION**

**A. Risk Management**

The City is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; and natural disasters for which the City participates along with 2,617 other entities in the Texas Municipal League's Intergovernmental Risk Pools (the "Pool"). The Pool purchases commercial insurance at group rates for participants in the Pool. The City has no additional risk or responsibility to the Pool outside of the payment of insurance premiums. The City has not significantly reduced insurance coverage or had settlements that exceeded coverage amounts for the past three years.

**B. Contingent Liabilities**

Amounts received or receivable from granting agencies are subject to audit and adjustment by grantor agencies, principally the federal government. Any disallowed claims, including amounts already collected, may constitute a liability of the applicable funds. The amounts of expenditures that may be disallowed by the grantor cannot be determined at this time although the City expects such amounts, if any, to be immaterial.

Liabilities are reported when it is probable that a loss has occurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. Liabilities include an amount for claims that have been incurred but not reported. Claim liabilities are calculated considering the effects of inflation, recent claim settlement trends, including frequency and amount of payouts, and other economic and social factors. No claim liabilities are reported at year end.

**C. Pension Plans**

**1. Texas Municipal Retirement System**

Plan Description

The City participates as one of 872 plans in the nontraditional, joint contributory, hybrid defined benefit pension plan administered by the Texas Municipal Retirement System (TMRS). TMRS is an agency created by the State of Texas and administered in accordance with the TMRS Act, Subtitle G, Title 8, Texas Government Code (the "TMRS Act") as an agent multiple-employer retirement system for municipal employees in the State of Texas. The TMRS Act places the general administration and management of TMRS with a six-member Board of Trustees (the "Board"). Although the Governor, with the advice and consent of the Senate, appoints the Board, TMRS is not fiscally dependent on the State of Texas. TMRS's defined benefit pension plan is a tax-qualified plan under Section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code. TMRS issues a publicly available comprehensive annual financial report that can be obtained at [www.tmr.com](http://www.tmr.com).

All eligible employees of the City are required to participate in TMRS.

Benefits Provided

TMRS provides retirement, disability, and death benefits. Benefit provisions are adopted by the governing body of the City, within the options available in the state statutes governing TMRS.

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**For the Year Ended September 30, 2017**

At retirement, the benefit is calculated as if the sum of the employee's contributions, with interest, and the City-financed monetary credits, with interest, were used to purchase an annuity. Members may choose to receive their retirement benefit in one of seven payment options. Members may also choose to receive a portion of their benefit as a partial lump sum distribution in an amount equal to 12, 24, or 36 monthly payments, which cannot exceed 75 percent of the member's deposits and interest.

The plan provisions are adopted by the governing body of the City, within the options available in the state statutes governing TMRS. Plan provisions for the City were as follows:

	<u>2017</u>	<u>2016</u>
Employee deposit rate	7.00%	7.00%
Matching ratio (City to employee)	2 to 1	2 to 1
Years required for vesting	5	5
Service requirement eligibility (expressed as age/yrs of service)	60/5, 0/20	60/5, 0/20
Updated service credit	0% Transfers	0% Transfers
Annuity increase (to retirees)	0% of CPI	0% of CPI

Employees Covered by Benefit Terms

At the December 31, 2016 valuation and measurement date, the following employees were covered by the benefit terms:

Inactive employees or beneficiaries currently receiving benefits	36
Inactive employees entitled to, but not yet receiving, benefits	60
Active employees	87
<b>Total</b>	<u><u>183</u></u>

Contributions

The contribution rates for employees in TMRS are either five percent, six percent, or seven percent of employee gross earnings, and the City-matching percentages are either 100 percent, 150 percent, or 200 percent, both as adopted by the governing body of the City. Under the state law governing TMRS, the contribution rate for each city is determined annually by the actuary, using the Entry Age Normal (EAN) actuarial cost method. The actuarially determined rate is the estimated amount necessary to finance the cost of benefits earned by employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance any unfunded accrued liability.

Employees for the City were required to contribute seven percent of their annual gross earnings during the fiscal year. The contribution rates for the City were 7.41 percent and 6.25 percent in calendar years 2017 and 2016, respectively. The City's contributions to TMRS for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2017 were \$296,886, which were equal to the required contributions.

Net Pension Liability

The City's Net Pension Liability (NPL) was measured as of December 31, 2016 and the Total Pension Liability (TPL) used to calculate the NPL was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date.

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**For the Year Ended September 30, 2017**

Actuarial Assumptions

The TPL in the December 31, 2016 actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions:

Inflation	2.5% per year
Overall payroll growth	3.0% per year
Investment rate of return	6.75%, net of pension plan investment expense, including inflation

Salary increases were based on a service-related table. Mortality rates for active members, retirees, and beneficiaries were based on the gender-distinct RP2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Tables with Blue Collar Adjustment, with male rates multiplied by 109 percent and female rates multiplied by 103 percent. The rates are projected on a fully generational basis by scale BB to account for future mortality improvements. For disabled annuitants, the gender-distinct RP2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Tables with Blue Collar Adjustment are used with male rates multiplied by 109 percent and female rates multiplied by 103 percent with a three-year set-forward for both males and females. In addition, a three percent minimum mortality rate is applied to reflect the impairment for younger members who become disabled. The rates are projected on a fully generational basis by scale BB to account for future mortality improvements subject to the three percent floor.

Actuarial assumptions used in the December 31, 2016 valuation were based on the results of actuarial experience studies. The experience study in TMRS was for the period December 31, 2010 through December 31, 2014. Healthy post-retirement mortality rates and annuity purchase rates were updated based on a Mortality Experience Investigation Study covering 2009 through 2011 and dated December 31, 2013. These assumptions were first used in the December 31, 2013 valuation, along with a change to the EAN actuarial cost method. Assumptions are reviewed annually. Plan assets are managed on a total return basis with an emphasis on both capital appreciation, as well as the production of income, in order to satisfy the short-term and long-term funding needs of TMRS.

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
For the Year Ended September 30, 2017

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which best estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation. In determining their best estimate of a recommended investment return assumption under the various alternative asset allocation portfolios, the actuary focused on the area between (1) arithmetic mean (aggressive) without an adjustment for time (conservative) and (2) the geometric mean (conservative) with an adjustment for time (aggressive). The target allocation and best estimates of real rates of return for each major asset class are summarized in the following table:

<u>Asset Class</u>	<u>Target Allocation</u>	<u>Long-Term Expected Real Rate of Return (Arithmetic)</u>
Domestic Equity	17.5%	4.55%
International Equity	17.5%	6.10%
Core Fixed Income	10.0%	1.00%
Non-Core Fixed Income	20.0%	3.65%
Real Return	10.0%	4.03%
Real Estate	10.0%	5.00%
Absolute Return	10.0%	4.00%
Private Equity	5.0%	8.00%
<b>Total</b>	<u><u>100.0%</u></u>	

Discount Rate

The discount rate used to measure the TPL was 6.75 percent. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that employee and employer contributions will be made at the rates specified in statute. Based on that assumption, the pension plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current active and inactive employees. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the TPL.



**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
For the Year Ended September 30, 2017

Changes in the NPL

	Increase (Decrease)		
	Total Pension Liability	Plan Fiduciary Net Position	Net Pension Liability
	(A)	(B)	(A) - (B)
Changes for the year:			
Service cost	\$ 490,826	\$ -	\$ 490,826
Interest	474,157	-	474,157
Difference between expected and actual experience	-	-	-
Changes of assumptions	54,782	-	54,782
Contributions - employer	-	239,287	(239,287)
Contributions - employee	-	268,002	(268,002)
Net investment income	-	393,037	(393,037)
Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions	(267,708)	(267,708)	-
Administrative expense	-	(4,449)	4,449
Other changes	-	(240)	240
<b>Net Changes</b>	<b>752,057</b>	<b>627,929</b>	<b>124,128</b>
Balance at December 31, 2014	6,912,988	5,827,872	1,085,116
<b>Balance at December 31, 2015</b>	<b>\$ 7,665,045</b>	<b>\$ 6,455,801</b>	<b>\$ 1,209,244</b>

Sensitivity of the NPL to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the NPL of the City, calculated using the discount rate of 6.75 percent, as well as what the City's NPL would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percentage point lower (5.75%) or one percentage point higher (7.75%) than the current rate:

	1% Decrease in Discount Rate (5.75%)	Discount Rate (6.75%)	1% Increase in Discount Rate (7.75%)
City's Net Pension Liability	\$ 2,277,709	\$ 1,209,244	\$ 326,810

Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position

Detailed information about the pension plan's fiduciary net position is available in a separately-issued TMRS financial report. That report may be obtained on the Internet at [www.tmr.com](http://www.tmr.com).

Pension Expense and Deferred Outflows/Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2017, the City recognized net pension expense of \$472,261.

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
For the Year Ended September 30, 2017

At September 30, 2017, the City reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	<b>Deferred Outflows of Resources</b>	<b>Deferred Inflows of Resources</b>
Differences between expected and actual economic experience	\$ 59,864	\$ (4,148)
Changes in actuarial assumptions	104,835	-
Difference between projected and actual investment earnings	258,383	-
Contributions subsequent to the measurement date	238,643	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 661,725</b>	<b>\$ (4,148)</b>

\$238,643 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2018. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows and inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

<b>Fiscal Year Ended September 30</b>	<b>Pension Expense</b>
2018	\$ 165,091
2019	161,380
2020	90,414
2021	2,049
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 418,934</b>

**2. Texas Emergency Services Retirement System**

Plan Description

The City participates in a cost-sharing multiple employer pension plan that has a special funding situation. The plan is administered by the Texas Emergency Services Retirement System (TESRS) and established and administered by the State of Texas (the "State") to provide pension benefits for emergency services personnel who serve without significant monetary remuneration. At August 31, 2016, there were 197 contributing fire and/or emergency services department members participating in TESRS. Eligible participants include volunteer emergency services personnel who are members in good standing of a member department.

On August 31, 2016, the pension system membership consisted of:

Retirees and beneficiaries currently receiving benefits	2,991
Terminated members entitled to, but not yet receiving, benefits	2,211
Active participants	4,016

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
For the Year Ended September 30, 2017

Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position

Detailed information about TESRS's fiduciary net position is available in a separately-issued CAFR that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. TESRS issues a publicly available Annual Financial Report, which includes financial statements, notes, and required supplementary information, and can be obtained at [www.tesrs.org](http://www.tesrs.org). The separately issued actuarial valuations that may be of interest are also available at the same link.

Benefits Provided

Senate Bill 411, 65th Legislature, Regular Session (1977), created TESRS and established the applicable benefit provisions. The 79th Legislature, Regular Session (2005), re-codified the provisions and gave the TESRS Board of Trustees (the "Board") authority to establish vesting requirements, contribution levels, benefit formulas, and eligibility requirements by Board rule. The benefit provisions include retirement benefits, as well as death and disability benefits. Members are 50 percent vested after the tenth year of service, with the vesting percentage increasing ten percent for each of the next five years of service so that a member becomes 100 percent vested with 15 years of service.

Upon reaching age 55, each vested member may retire and receive a monthly pension equal to his vested percentage multiplied by six times the governing body's average monthly contribution over the member's years of qualified service. For years of service in excess of 15 years, this monthly benefit is increased at the rate of 6.2 percent compounded annually. There is no provision for automatic post retirement benefit increases.

On and off-duty death benefits and on-duty disability benefits are dependent on whether or not the member was engaged in the performance of duties at the time of death or disability. Death benefits include a lump sum amount or continuing monthly payments to a member's surviving spouse and dependent children.

Funding Policy

Contributions are made by governing bodies for the participating departments. No contributions are required from the individuals who are members of TESRS, nor are they allowed. The governing bodies of each participating department are required to make contributions for each month a member performs emergency services for a department (this minimum contribution is \$36 per member and the department may make a higher monthly contribution for its members). This is referred to as a Part One contribution, which is the legacy portion of the TESRS contribution that directly impacts future retiree annuities.

The State is required to contribute an amount necessary to make TESRS "actuarially sound" each year, which may not exceed one-third of the total of all contributions made by participating governing bodies in a particular year.

The Board rule defining contributions was amended effective July 27, 2014 to add the potential for actuarially determined Part Two contributions that would be required only if the expected future annual contributions from the State are not enough with the Part One contributions to provide an adequate contribution arrangement as determined by the most recent actuarial valuation. This Part Two portion, which is actuarially determined as a percentage of the Part One portion (not to exceed 15 percent), is to be actuarially adjusted near the end of each even-numbered calendar year based on the most recent actuarial valuation. Based on the actuarial valuation as of August 31, 2016, the Part

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**For the Year Ended September 30, 2017**

Two contributions were established by the Board to be two percent, if the Part One contributions beginning September 1, 2017.

Additional contributions may be made by governing bodies within two years of joining TESRS to grant up to ten years of credit for service per member. Prior service purchased must have occurred before the department began participation in TESRS.

A small subset of participating departments has a different contribution arrangement that is being phased out over time. In this arrangement, contributions made in addition to the monthly contributions for active members are made by local governing bodies on a pay-as-you-go basis for members who were pensioners when their respective departments merged into TESRS. There is no actuarial impact associated with this arrangement as the pay-as-you-go contributions made by these governing bodies are always equal to benefit payments paid by TESRS.

Contributions

The contribution requirement per active emergency services personnel member per month is not actuarially determined. Rather, the minimum contribution provisions were set by Board rule, and there is no maximum contribution rate. For the fiscal year ending August 31, 2016, total contributions (dues, prior service, and interest on prior service financing) of \$14,364 were paid by the City. The State appropriated \$1,583,825 for the fiscal year ending August 31, 2016 to the plan as a whole.

Actuarial Assumptions

The TPL in the August 31, 2016 actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions, applied to all periods included in the measurement:

Actuarial valuation date	8/31/2016
Actuarial cost method	Entry age
Amortization method	Level dollar, open
Remaining amortization period	30 years
Asset valuation method	Market value smoothed by a 5-year deferred recognition method with an 80%/120% corridor on market value
Actuarial assumptions:	
Investment rate of return*	7.75%
Projected salary increases	N/A
*Includes inflation at	3.00%
Cost of living adjustments	None

Mortality rates were based on the RP-2000 Combined Healthy Lives Mortality Tables for males and for females projected to 2018 by scale AA. The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which expected future net real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These components are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage (currently 4.45%) and by adding expected inflation (3.50%). In addition, the final 7.75 percent assumption reflected a reduction of 0.20 percent for adverse deviation.

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
For the Year Ended September 30, 2017

The target allocation and expected arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class are summarized in the following table:

<u>Asset Class</u>	<u>Target Allocation</u>	<u>Long-Term Expected Net Real Rate of Return</u>
Equities		
Large cap domestic	32.0%	5.72%
Small cap domestic	10.0%	5.96%
Developed international	21.0%	6.21%
Emerging markets	6.0%	7.18%
Master limited partnership	5.0%	7.61%
Fixed income		
Domestic	21.0%	1.61%
International	5.0%	1.81%
<b>Total</b>	<u>100.0%</u>	
Weighted average		4.97%

Discount Rate

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.75 percent. No projection of cash flows was used to determine the discount rate because the August 31, 2016 actuarial valuation showed that expected contributions would pay the normal cost and amortize the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) in 30 years using the conservative level dollar amortization method. Because of the 30-year amortization period with the conservative amortization method and with a lower value of assets, the pension plan's fiduciary net position is expected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current active and inactive members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

Discount Rate Sensitivity Analysis

The following presents the NPL of the City, calculated using the discount rate of 7.75 percent, as well as what the City's NPL would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percentage point lower (6.75%) or one percentage point higher (8.75%) than the current rate:

	<u>1% Decrease in Discount Rate (6.75%)</u>	<u>Discount Rate (7.75%)</u>	<u>1% Increase in Discount Rate (8.75%)</u>
City's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$ 144,928	\$ 85,603	\$ 47,805

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
For the Year Ended September 30, 2017

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows/Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

At August 31, 2016, the City reported a liability of \$85,603 for its proportionate share of TESRS's NPL. The amount recognized by the City as its proportionate share of the NPL, the related State support, and the total portion of the NPL that was associated with the City were as follows:

City's proportionate share of the collective NPL	\$	85,603
*State's proportionate share that is associated with the City		29,594
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b><u>115,197</u></b>

*\*Calculated using the City's proportionate share of contributions multiplied by the State's share of the collective NPL.*

The total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of August 31, 2016. GASB Statement No. 68 requires the net pension liability to be measured as of a date no earlier than the end of the employer's prior fiscal year. TESRS did not roll forward (nor did they provide the necessary information for the participants to roll forward) the net pension liability to be measured as of a date no earlier than the end of the City's prior fiscal year. While the City acknowledges that the measurement date does not fall within this 12 month period, the City elected to honor the conservatism principle and report a net pension liability measured as of August 31, 2016. The City used the assumption that any differences in the net pension liability measured as of August 31, 2016 versus September 30, 2016 would be immaterial. The employer's proportion of the net pension liability was based on the employer's contributions to the pension plan relative to the contributions of all employers to the plan for the period September 1, 2015 thru August 31, 2016.

At August 31, 2016, the employer's proportion of the collective NPL was 0.311 percent, which was an increase of 0.010 percent from its proportion measured as of August 31, 2015.

There were no changes of assumptions or other inputs that affected measurement of the TPL during the measurement period.

There were no changes of benefit terms that affected measurement of the TPL during the measurement period.

For the year ended August 31, 2016, the City recognized pension expense of \$9,663. The City recognized on-behalf revenues of \$4,655 calculated by taking the State's total contributions to TESRS multiplied by the City's proportionate share.

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
For the Year Ended September 30, 2017

At August 31, 2016, the City reported its proportionate share of the TESRS's deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	<b>Deferred Outflows of Resources</b>	<b>Deferred Inflows of Resources</b>
Net difference between projected and actual investment earnings	\$ 16,209	\$ -
Changes in assumptions	1,823	
Changes in proportion and employer and proportionate share of contributions	132	-
Contributions paid to TESRS subsequent to the measurement date	14,364	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 32,528</b>	<b>\$ -</b>

The net amounts of the employer's balances of deferred outflows and inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

<b>Fiscal Years Ended September 30</b>	<b>Pension Expense</b>
2017	\$ 4,752
2018	4,752
2019	7,520
2020	1,140
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 18,164</b>

**D. Other Post Employment Benefits**

**TMRS Supplemental Death Benefit Fund**

Plan Description

The City also participates in the cost sharing multiple-employer defined benefit group-term life insurance plan operated by the TMRS known as the Supplemental Death Benefits Fund (SDBF). The City elected, by ordinance, to provide group-term life insurance coverage to both current and retired employees. The City may terminate coverage under and discontinue participation in the SDBF by adopting an ordinance before November 1 of any year to be effective the following January 1.

The death benefit for active employees provides a lump-sum payment approximately equal to the employee's annual salary (calculated based on the employee's actual earnings, for the 12-month period preceding the month of death); retired employees are insured for \$7,500; this coverage is an "other post employment benefit," or OPEB. For the year ended September 30, 2017, the City offered the supplemental death benefit to both active and retired employees.

Contributions

The City contributes to the SDBF at a contractually required rate as determined by an annual actuarial valuation. The rate is equal to the cost of providing one-year term life insurance. The funding policy for the SDBF program is to assure that adequate resources are available to meet all death benefit payments for the upcoming year; the intent is not to pre-fund retiree term life insurance during employees' entire careers.

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**For the Year Ended September 30, 2017**

The City's contribution to the TMRS SDBF for the fiscal years ended 2017, 2016, and 2015 were \$1,660, \$1,568, and \$1,466, respectively. The City's contribution rates for the past three years are shown below.

	<u>2017</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2015</u>
Annual Req. Contrib. (Rate)	0.04%	0.04%	0.04%
Actual Contribution Made	0.04%	0.04%	0.04%
Percentage of ARC Contrib.	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

**E. Deferred Compensation Plan**

The City offers its employees a deferred compensation plan (the "Plan") created in accordance with the Internal Revenue Code Section 457. The Plan, available to all City employees, permits them to defer a portion of their salary until future years. The deferred compensation is not available to employees until termination, retirement, death, or an unforeseeable emergency. The Plan's trust arrangements are established to protect deferred compensation amounts of employees under the Plan from any other use than intended under the Plan (eventual payments to employees deferring the compensation) in accordance with federal tax laws. Amounts of compensation deferred by employees under Plan provisions are disbursed monthly by the City to a third-party administrator. The third-party administrator handles all funds in the Plan and makes investment decisions and disburses funds to employees in accordance with Plan provisions.

**F. Chapter 380 Economic Development Agreement**

Chapter 380 of the Texas Local Government Code, *Miscellaneous Provisions Relating to Municipal Planning and Development*, provides that the authority to the governing body of a municipality may establish and provide for the administration of one or more programs, including programs for making loans and grants of public money and providing personnel and services of the municipality, to promote state or local economic development and to stimulate business and commercial activity in the municipality.

In November 2014, the City approved a Chapter 380 Economic Development Agreement with Jess-John, Inc. (the "Developer"). The Developer plans to construct residential housing that will require the development of infrastructure for City services for the tract of land within the City. The City has agreed to pay the Developer up to one half of the cost of improvements, not to exceed \$674,530.



(This page intentionally left blank.)

***REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION***

(This page intentionally left blank.)

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES**  
**IN FUND BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL**

**GENERAL FUND**

For the Year Ended September 30, 2017

	Budgeted Amounts		Actual	Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative)
	Original Budget	Budget as Amended		
<b>Revenues</b>				
Property tax	\$ 2,777,900	\$ 2,811,424	\$ 2,702,372	\$ (109,052)
Sales tax	1,493,300	1,493,300	1,455,191	(38,109)
Franchise and local taxes	423,000	428,755	418,439	(10,316)
Licenses and permits	46,000	68,275	63,733	(4,542)
Intergovernmental	73,500	195,162	188,464	(6,698)
Fines and forfeitures	294,400	302,329	284,130	(18,199)
Charges for services	111,700	112,900	90,253	(22,647)
Investment income	40,060	19,214	39,677	20,463
Contributions and donations	9,600	11,835	2,960	(8,875)
Payments in lieu of taxes	325,100	349,596	333,877	(15,719)
Other revenue	80,900	165,805	152,086	(13,719)
<b>Total Revenues</b>	<u>5,675,460</u>	<u>5,958,595</u>	<u>5,731,182</u>	<u>(227,413)</u>
<b>Expenditures</b>				
General government				
Administration	1,250,700	2,023,426	1,883,277	140,149
Inspection	299,070	413,177	409,805	3,372
<b>Total General Government</b>	<u>1,549,770</u>	<u>2,436,603</u>	<u>2,293,082</u>	<u>143,521</u>
Public Safety				
Police	2,325,220	2,662,432	2,622,306	40,126
Fire	149,011	166,453	155,914	10,539
Municipal court	186,710	195,843	194,248	1,595
<b>Total Public Safety</b>	<u>2,660,941</u>	<u>3,024,728</u>	<u>2,972,468</u>	<u>52,260</u>
Public works				
Streets	997,250	943,448	886,998	56,450
Maintenance	234,630	258,134	256,596	1,538
<b>Total Public Works</b>	<u>1,231,880</u>	<u>1,201,582</u>	<u>1,143,594</u>	<u>57,988</u>
Culture and recreation				
Library	274,150	279,628	279,142	486
City park	140,400	153,710	117,186	36,524
<b>Total Culture and Recreation</b>	<u>414,550</u>	<u>433,338</u>	<u>396,328</u>	<u>37,010</u>
Community enhancement	204,600	216,740	210,993	5,747
Community center	372,250	403,763	398,446	5,317
<b>Debt Service:</b>				
Principal	34,103	34,103	34,103	-
Interest and fiscal charges	9,156	9,156	9,156	-
Debt issuance costs	-	25,000	25,000	-
<b>Total Debt Service</b>	<u>43,259</u>	<u>68,259</u>	<u>68,259</u>	<u>-</u>
<b>Total Expenditures</b>	<u>6,477,250</u>	<u>7,785,013</u>	<u>7,483,170</u>	<u>301,843</u>
<b>(Deficiency) of Revenues (Under) Expenditures</b>	<u>(801,790)</u>	<u>(1,826,418)</u>	<u>(1,751,988)</u>	<u>74,430</u>
<b>Other Financing Sources (Uses)</b>				
Transfers in	-	500,000	3,000,000	2,500,000
Transfers (out)	-	(5,412,417)	(5,412,417)	-
Debt issued	-	575,000	575,000	-
<b>Net Change in Fund Balance</b>	<u>\$ (801,790)</u>	<u>\$ (6,163,835)</u>	<u>(3,589,405)</u>	<u>\$ 2,574,430</u>
Beginning fund balance			7,123,392	
<b>Ending Fund Balance</b>			<u>\$ 3,533,987</u>	

Notes to Required Supplementary Information:

1. Annual budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).

(This page intentionally left blank.)

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS**  
**TEXAS MUNICIPAL RETIREMENT SYSTEM (TMRS)**

For the Year Ended September 30, 2017

	Measurement Year*		
	2014	2015	2016
<b>Total Pension Liability</b>			
Service cost	\$ 324,986	\$ 415,360	\$ 490,826
Interest (on the total pension liability)	396,895	430,539	474,157
Difference between expected and actual experience	(19,939)	37,731	54,782
Changes in assumptions	-	216,362	-
Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions	(273,230)	(259,770)	(267,708)
<b>Net Change in Total Pension Liability</b>	<u>428,712</u>	<u>840,222</u>	<u>752,057</u>
Beginning total pension liability	<u>5,644,054</u>	<u>6,072,766</u>	<u>6,912,988</u>
<b>Ending Total Pension Liability</b>	<u><u>\$ 6,072,766</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 6,912,988</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 7,665,045</u></u>
<b>Plan Fiduciary Net Position</b>			
Contributions - employer	\$ 177,101	\$ 214,234	\$ 239,287
Contributions - employee	215,977	241,488	268,002
Net investment income	298,309	8,300	393,037
Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions	(273,230)	(259,770)	(267,708)
Administrative expense	(3,114)	(5,059)	(4,449)
Other	(256)	(250)	(240)
<b>Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position</b>	<u>414,787</u>	<u>198,943</u>	<u>627,929</u>
Beginning plan fiduciary net position	<u>5,214,141</u>	<u>5,628,928</u>	<u>5,827,872</u>
<b>Ending Plan Fiduciary Net Position</b>	<u><u>\$ 5,628,928</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 5,827,871</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 6,455,801</u></u>
<b>Net Pension Liability</b>	<u><u>\$ 443,838</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 1,085,117</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 1,209,244</u></u>
<b>Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of Total Pension Liability</b>	92.69%	84.30%	84.22%
<b>Covered Employee Payroll</b>	\$ 3,085,380	\$ 3,449,831	\$ 3,828,596
<b>Net Pension Liability as a Percentage of Covered Employee Payroll</b>	14.39%	31.45%	31.58%

\*Only three years of information is currently available. The City will build this schedule over the next seven-year period.

(This page intentionally left blank.)

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**SCHEDULE OF THE CITY'S PROPORTIONATE**  
**SHARE OF THE NET PENSION LIABILITY**  
**TEXAS EMERGENCY SERVICES RETIREMENT SYSTEM (TESRS)**  
For the Year Ended September 30, 2017

	Measurement Year*		
	2014	2015	2016
City's proportion of the net pension liability	0.301%	0.311%	0.294%
City's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$ 54,697	\$ 83,002	\$ 85,603
State's proportionate share of the net pension liability	18,386	28,771	29,594
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 73,083</b>	<b>\$ 111,773</b>	<b>\$ 115,197</b>
Number of Active Members**	32	34	30
City's net pension liability per active member	\$ 1,709	\$ 2,441	\$ 2,853
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	83.5%	76.9%	76.3%

\*Only three years of information is currently available. The City will build this schedule over the next seven-year period.

\*\*There is no compensation for active members. Number of active members is used instead.

**Notes to Required Supplementary Information:**

Defined Benefit Pension Plan

*Changes in benefit terms*

There were no changes of benefit terms that affected measurement of the total pension liability during the measurement period.

*Changes in assumptions*

There were no changes of assumptions or other inputs that affected measurement of the total pension liability during the measurement period.





**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS**  
**TEXAS MUNICIPAL RETIREMENT SYSTEM (TMRS)**  
**For the Year Ended September 30, 2017**

	Fiscal Year*			
	2014	2015	2016	2017
Actuarially determined contribution	\$ 170,209	\$ 201,762	\$ 244,564	\$ 296,886
Contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contribution	170,209	201,762	244,564	296,886
Contribution deficiency (excess)	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>
Covered employee payroll	\$ 3,032,125	\$ 3,316,114	\$ 3,919,546	\$ 4,150,979
Contributions as a percentage of covered employee payroll	5.61%	6.08%	6.24%	7.15%

\* Only four years of information is currently available. The City will build this schedule over the next six-year

**Notes to Required Supplementary Information:**

1. Valuation Date:

Actuarially determined contribution rates are calculated as of December 31 and become effective in January, months later.

2. Methods and Assumptions Used to Determine Contribution Rates:

Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal
Amortization method	Level percentage of payroll, closed
Remaining amortization period	29 years
Asset valuation method	10 year smoothed market; 15% soft corridor
Inflation	2.50%
Salary increases	3.50% to 10.50% including inflation
Investment rate of return	6.75%
Retirement age	Experience-based table of rates that are specific to the City's plan of benefits. Last updated for the 2015 valuation pursuant to an experience study of the period 2010-2014.
Mortality	RP2000 Combined Mortality Table with Blue Collar Adjustment with male rates multiplied by 109% and female rates multiplied by 103% and projected on a fully generational basis with scale BB.

3. Other Information:

There were no benefit changes during the year.

(This page intentionally left blank.)

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**SCHEDULE OF CITY CONTRIBUTIONS**  
**TEXAS EMERGENCY SERVICES RETIREMENT SYSTEM (TESRS)**  
**For the Year Ended September 30, 2017**

	Fiscal Year*			
	2014	2015	2016	2017
Contractually required contribution	\$ 13,644	\$ 14,852	\$ 17,342	\$ 14,364
Contributions in relation to the contractually required contribution	<u>13,644</u>	<u>14,852</u>	<u>17,342</u>	<u>14,364</u>
Contribution deficiency (excess)	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>
Number of active members**	32	34	30	30
Contributions per active member	\$ 426	\$ 437	\$ 578	\$ 479

\*Only four years of information is currently available. The City will build this schedule over the next six-year period.

\*\*There is no compensation for active members. Number of active members is used instead.



***COMBINING STATEMENTS  
AND INDIVIDUAL FUND SCHEDULE***



**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND**  
**CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL**  
**DEBT SERVICE FUND**

For the Year Ended September 30, 2017

	<u>Budgeted Amounts</u>		<u>Actual</u>	<u>Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative)</u>
	<u>Original Budget</u>	<u>Budget as Amended</u>		
<b><u>Revenues</u></b>				
Property tax	\$ 1,681,100	\$ 1,767,004	\$ 1,767,139	\$ 135
Investment income	6,300	6,733	7,616	883
<b>Total Revenues</b>	<u>1,687,400</u>	<u>1,773,737</u>	<u>1,774,755</u>	<u>1,018</u>
<b><u>Expenditures</u></b>				
Principal	1,345,000	1,357,269	1,345,000	12,269
Interest and fiscal charges	697,101	684,699	584,367	100,332
Debt issuance costs	-	109,744	94,327	15,417
<b>Total Expenditures</b>	<u>2,042,101</u>	<u>2,151,712</u>	<u>2,023,694</u>	<u>128,018</u>
<b>(Deficiency) of Revenues (Under) Expenditures</b>	<u>(354,701)</u>	<u>(377,975)</u>	<u>(248,939)</u>	<u>129,036</u>
<b><u>Other Financing Sources (Uses)</u></b>				
Transfers in	366,030	366,030	366,030	-
Refunding bonds issued	-	-	8,045,000	8,045,000
Payment to refunded bond escrow agent	-	-	(8,060,417)	(8,060,417) *
<b>Total Other Financing Sources</b>	<u>366,030</u>	<u>366,030</u>	<u>350,613</u>	<u>(15,417)</u>
<b>Net Change in Fund Balance</b>	<u>\$ 11,329</u>	<u>\$ (11,945)</u>	101,674	<u>\$ 113,619</u>
Beginning fund balance			<u>1,739,750</u>	
<b>Ending Fund Balance</b>			<u>\$ 1,841,424</u>	

1. \* Expenditures exceed appropriations at the legal level of control.





# **CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**

## ***NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS***

**For the Year Ended September 30, 2017**

### ***SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS***

Special revenue funds are used to account for and report the proceeds of specific revenue sources that are restricted or committed to expenditure for specified purposes other than debt service or capital projects.

#### **Hotel and Motel Tax Fund**

The hotel and motel tax fund accounts for revenues derived from the City's hotel/motel tax for which the expenditures are legally restricted for enhancement and promotion of tourism for the convention and hotel industry within the City and vicinity.

#### **Community Development Fund**

The community development fund accounts for revenues received from the Community Development Block Grant and the expenditures related to the projects.



# CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS

## COMBINING BALANCE SHEET

### NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

September 30, 2017

	Special Revenue Funds		Total Nonmajor Governmental Funds
	Hotel and Motel Tax	Community Development	
<b><u>Assets</u></b>			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 120,553	\$ 217,934	\$ 338,487
Receivables, net	10,051	-	10,051
<b>Total Assets</b>	\$ 130,604	\$ 217,934	\$ 348,538
 <b><u>Liabilities and Fund Balances</u></b>			
<b>Liabilities</b>			
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 163	\$ -	\$ 163
Due to other funds	-	217,934	217,934
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	163	217,934	218,097
 <b>Fund Balances</b>			
Restricted:			
Tourism	130,441	-	130,441
<b>Total Fund Balances</b>	130,441	-	130,441
<b>Total Liabilities and Fund Balances</b>	\$ 130,604	\$ 217,934	\$ 348,538



**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**COMBINING STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,**  
**AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES**  
**NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS**  
For the Year Ended September 30, 2017

	<u>Special Revenue Funds</u>		<b>Total Nonmajor Governmental Funds</b>
	<u>Hotel and Motel Tax</u>	<u>Community Development</u>	
<b><u>Revenues</u></b>			
Franchise and local taxes	\$ 92,523	\$ -	\$ 92,523
Intergovernmental	-	242,434	242,434
Investment income	377	-	377
<b>Total Revenues</b>	<u>92,900</u>	<u>242,434</u>	<u>335,334</u>
<b><u>Expenditures</u></b>			
General government	-	7,000	7,000
Community enhancement	39,708	235,434	275,142
Tourism promotion	54,173	-	54,173
<b>Total Expenditures</b>	<u>93,881</u>	<u>242,434</u>	<u>336,315</u>
<b>Net Change in Fund Balances</b>	(981)	-	(981)
Beginning fund balances	<u>131,422</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>131,422</u>
<b>Ending Fund Balances</b>	<u><u>\$ 130,441</u></u>	<u><u>\$ -</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 130,441</u></u>



**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND**  
**CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL**  
**HOTEL AND MOTEL TAX FUND**  
For the Year Ended September 30, 2017

	<u>Budgeted Amounts</u>		<u>Actual</u>	<u>Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative)</u>
	<u>Original Budget</u>	<u>Budget as Amended</u>		
<b><u>Revenues</u></b>				
Franchise and local taxes	\$ 91,700	\$ 91,700	\$ 92,523	\$ 823
Investment income	600	347	377	30
<b>Total Revenues</b>	<u>92,300</u>	<u>92,047</u>	<u>92,900</u>	<u>853</u>
<b><u>Expenditures</u></b>				
Community enhancement	40,000	39,708	39,708	-
Tourism promotion	46,750	54,173	54,173	-
<b>Total Expenditures</b>	<u>86,750</u>	<u>93,881</u>	<u>93,881</u>	<u>-</u>
<b>Net Change in Fund Balance</b>	<u>\$ 5,550</u>	<u>\$ (1,834)</u>	(981)	<u>\$ 853</u>
Beginning fund balance			<u>131,422</u>	
<b>Ending Fund Balance</b>			<u>\$ 130,441</u>	





## **STATISTICAL SECTION**

This part of the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report presents detailed information as a context for understanding what the information in the financial statements, note disclosures, and Required Supplementary Information says about the City's overall financial health.

<b>Contents</b>	<b>Page</b>
Financial Trends	102
<i>These schedules contain trend information to help the reader understand how the City's financial performance and well-being have changed over time.</i>	
Revenue Capacity	114
<i>These schedules contain information to help the reader assess the City's most significant local revenue source, property taxes.</i>	
Debt Capacity	122
<i>These schedules present information to help the reader assess the affordability of the City's current level of outstanding debt and the City's ability to issue additional debt in the future.</i>	
Demographic and Economic Information	131
<i>These schedules offer demographic and economic indicators to help the reader understand the environment within which the City's financial activities take place.</i>	
Operating Information	135
<i>These schedules contain service and infrastructure data to help the reader understand how the information in the City's financial report relates to the services the City provides and the activities it performs.</i>	

# CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS

## NET POSITION BY COMPONENT

Last Ten Years

(accrual basis of accounting)

	Fiscal Year			
	2008	2009	2010	2011
<b>Governmental Activities</b>				
Net investment in capital assets	\$ 575,833	\$ (1,049,374)	\$ (902,044)	\$ (368,043)
Restricted	1,182,072	1,327,555	1,259,021	1,846,613
Unrestricted	1,681,688	4,730,849	4,751,826	4,415,092
<b>Total Governmental Activities Net Position</b>	<u>\$ 3,439,593</u>	<u>\$ 5,009,030</u>	<u>\$ 5,108,803</u>	<u>\$ 5,893,662</u>
<b>Business-Type Activities</b>				
Net investment in capital assets	\$ 9,041,108	\$ 7,306,107	\$ 8,259,046	\$ 8,645,703
Unrestricted	1,360,207	3,720,126	5,197,908	4,668,024
<b>Total Business-Type Activities Net Position</b>	<u>\$ 10,401,315</u>	<u>\$ 11,026,233</u>	<u>\$ 13,456,954</u>	<u>\$ 13,313,727</u>
<b>Primary Government</b>				
Net investment in capital assets	\$ 9,616,941	\$ 6,256,733	\$ 7,357,002	\$ 8,277,660
Restricted	1,182,072	1,327,555	1,259,021	1,846,613
Unrestricted	3,041,895	8,450,975	9,949,734	9,083,116
<b>Total Primary Government Net Position</b>	<u>\$ 13,840,908</u>	<u>\$ 16,035,263</u>	<u>\$ 18,565,757</u>	<u>\$ 19,207,389</u>

**Fiscal Year**

<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>
\$ 925,703	\$ 1,445,839	\$ 2,509,582	\$ 3,462,443	\$ 4,566,453	\$ 9,583,333
1,616,547	1,675,266	1,623,984	1,808,450	9,063,490	2,158,771
4,868,753	6,069,598	7,082,301	7,511,183	356,496	2,944,518
<u>\$ 7,411,003</u>	<u>\$ 9,190,703</u>	<u>\$ 11,215,867</u>	<u>\$ 12,782,076</u>	<u>\$ 13,986,439</u>	<u>\$ 14,686,622</u>
\$ 9,359,270	\$ 9,194,380	\$ 8,952,136	\$ 9,645,450	\$ 9,839,516	\$ 8,404,755
4,512,879	4,667,522	3,780,649	3,216,055	3,269,377	4,321,533
<u>\$ 13,872,149</u>	<u>\$ 13,861,902</u>	<u>\$ 12,732,785</u>	<u>\$ 12,861,505</u>	<u>\$ 13,108,893</u>	<u>\$ 12,726,288</u>
\$ 10,284,973	\$ 10,640,219	\$ 11,461,718	\$ 13,107,893	\$ 14,405,969	\$ 17,988,088
1,616,547	1,675,266	1,623,984	1,808,450	9,063,490	2,158,771
9,381,632	10,737,120	10,862,950	10,727,238	3,625,873	7,266,051
<u>\$ 21,283,152</u>	<u>\$ 23,052,605</u>	<u>\$ 23,948,652</u>	<u>\$ 25,643,581</u>	<u>\$ 27,095,332</u>	<u>\$ 27,412,910</u>

# CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS

## CHANGES IN NET POSITION

Last Ten Years

(accrual basis of accounting)

	Fiscal Year			
	2008	2009	2010	2011
<b>Expenses</b>				
Governmental activities				
General government	\$ 673,152	\$ 3,003,337	\$ 1,676,685	\$ 1,680,540
Public safety	1,357,366	1,320,013	1,330,407	1,996,880
Public works	989,327	853,850	801,733	1,023,098
Culture and recreation	268,213	275,348	389,495	475,913
Community enhancement	113,637	287,451	113,837	782,221
Interest and fees on debt	297,801	282,937	943,998	937,955
<b>Total Governmental Activities Expenses</b>	<u>3,699,496</u>	<u>6,022,936</u>	<u>5,256,155</u>	<u>6,896,607</u>
Business-Type Activities				
Water and sewer	2,431,526	2,381,794	2,405,119	2,822,889
Refuse	792,901	775,730	783,072	795,252
<b>Total Business-Type Activities Expenses</b>	<u>3,224,427</u>	<u>3,157,524</u>	<u>3,188,191</u>	<u>3,618,141</u>
<b>Total Expenses</b>	<u>\$ 6,923,923</u>	<u>\$ 9,180,460</u>	<u>\$ 8,444,346</u>	<u>\$ 10,514,748</u>
<b>Program Revenues</b>				
Governmental activities				
Charges for services				
Public safety	\$ 235,580	\$ 250,268	\$ 279,152	\$ 290,581
Public works	52,028	33,832	25,443	35,394
Operating grants and contributions	51,322	1,056,590	102,980	361,668
<b>Total Governmental Activities Program Revenues</b>	<u>338,930</u>	<u>1,340,690</u>	<u>407,575</u>	<u>687,643</u>
Business-Type Activities				
Charges for services				
Water and sewer	2,543,513	3,062,084	3,017,897	2,996,343
Refuse	813,308	869,261	907,203	898,957
Operating grants and contributions	-	-	-	-
Capital grants and contributions	-	-	-	-
<b>Total Business-Type Activities Program Revenues</b>	<u>3,356,821</u>	<u>3,931,345</u>	<u>3,925,100</u>	<u>3,895,300</u>
<b>Total Program Revenues</b>	<u>\$ 3,695,751</u>	<u>\$ 5,272,035</u>	<u>\$ 4,332,675</u>	<u>\$ 4,582,943</u>
<b>Net (Expense)/Revenue</b>				
Governmental activities	\$ (3,360,566)	\$ (4,682,246)	\$ (4,848,580)	\$ (6,208,964)
Business-type activities	132,394	773,821	736,909	277,159
<b>Total Net Expense</b>	<u>\$ (3,228,172)</u>	<u>\$ (3,908,425)</u>	<u>\$ (4,111,671)</u>	<u>\$ (5,931,805)</u>

**Fiscal Year**

<b>2012</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2015</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>
\$ 966,880	\$ 1,078,921	\$ 1,020,821	\$ 1,069,703	\$ 1,289,299	\$ 2,292,956
2,005,207	2,117,615	2,174,567	2,203,892	2,269,519	2,338,072
1,422,006	1,151,412	1,317,655	1,315,378	1,296,200	1,407,482
631,215	598,987	595,737	581,414	768,841	656,111
870,551	655,102	576,481	645,128	534,658	1,034,674
776,729	722,249	681,460	633,697	808,002	669,299
<u>6,672,588</u>	<u>6,324,286</u>	<u>6,366,721</u>	<u>6,449,212</u>	<u>6,966,519</u>	<u>8,398,593</u>
3,150,636	3,225,967	3,019,288	3,170,831	3,388,885	3,431,061
860,456	765,371	838,182	837,012	868,535	1,040,464
<u>4,011,092</u>	<u>3,991,338</u>	<u>3,857,470</u>	<u>4,007,843</u>	<u>4,257,420</u>	<u>4,471,525</u>
<u>\$ 10,683,680</u>	<u>\$ 10,315,624</u>	<u>\$ 10,224,191</u>	<u>\$ 10,457,055</u>	<u>\$ 11,223,939</u>	<u>\$ 12,870,118</u>
\$ 447,569	\$ 380,974	\$ 421,610	\$ 391,963	\$ 415,984	\$ 374,383
36,572	28,625	48,008	64,802	55,531	63,733
1,042,973	493,848	125,638	610,798	56,029	438,513
<u>1,527,114</u>	<u>903,447</u>	<u>595,256</u>	<u>1,067,563</u>	<u>527,544</u>	<u>876,629</u>
3,104,029	3,198,803	3,070,922	3,096,300	3,193,106	3,724,552
942,360	1,000,024	1,034,706	1,029,176	1,058,072	1,201,259
344,576	35,000	-	-	-	-
261,526	-	-	-	-	-
<u>4,652,491</u>	<u>4,233,827</u>	<u>4,105,628</u>	<u>4,125,476</u>	<u>4,251,178</u>	<u>4,925,811</u>
<u>\$ 6,179,605</u>	<u>\$ 5,137,274</u>	<u>\$ 4,700,884</u>	<u>\$ 5,193,039</u>	<u>\$ 4,778,722</u>	<u>\$ 5,802,440</u>
\$ (5,145,474)	\$ (5,420,839)	\$ (5,771,465)	\$ (5,381,649)	\$ (6,438,975)	\$ (7,521,964)
641,399	242,489	248,158	117,633	(6,242)	454,286
<u>\$ (4,504,075)</u>	<u>\$ (5,178,350)</u>	<u>\$ (5,523,307)</u>	<u>\$ (5,264,016)</u>	<u>\$ (6,445,217)</u>	<u>\$ (7,067,678)</u>

# CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS

## CHANGES IN NET POSITION (Continued)

Last Ten Years

(accrual basis of accounting)

	Fiscal Year			
	2008	2009	2010	2011
<b>General Revenues and Other Changes in Net Position</b>				
Governmental activities				
Property taxes	\$ 3,603,433	\$ 3,816,741	\$ 4,811,646	\$ 4,656,172
Sales taxes	925,496	1,001,892	902,194	787,054
Franchise and local taxes	542,324	572,664	567,042	611,240
Investment income	231,668	327,025	194,084	123,913
Other revenues	72,774	109,495	97,752	326,260
Gain on sale of capital assets	-	2,318	2,782	-
Transfers in (out)	556,065	421,548	(1,555,145)	489,184
<b>Total Governmental Activities</b>	<u>5,931,760</u>	<u>6,251,683</u>	<u>5,020,355</u>	<u>6,993,823</u>
Business-type activities				
Investment income	459,280	228,687	61,230	44,811
Other revenues	22,974	36,721	30,561	23,987
Gain on sale of capital assets	-	7,237	1,495	-
Transfers in (out)	(556,065)	(421,548)	1,555,145	(489,184)
<b>Total Business-Type Activities</b>	<u>(73,811)</u>	<u>(148,903)</u>	<u>1,648,431</u>	<u>(420,386)</u>
<b>Total Primary Government</b>	<u>\$ 5,857,949</u>	<u>\$ 6,102,780</u>	<u>\$ 6,668,786</u>	<u>\$ 6,573,437</u>
<b>Change in Net Position</b>				
Governmental activities	\$ 2,571,194	\$ 1,569,437	\$ 171,775	\$ 784,859
Business-type activities	58,583	624,918	2,385,340	(143,227)
<b>Total Primary Government</b>	<u>\$ 2,629,777</u>	<u>\$ 2,194,355</u>	<u>\$ 2,557,115</u>	<u>\$ 641,632</u>

**Fiscal Year**

<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>
\$ 4,564,356	\$ 4,570,211	\$ 4,696,620	\$ 4,772,360	\$ 4,973,068	\$ 4,503,923
1,019,311	1,263,882	1,349,335	1,697,517	1,774,826	1,455,191
768,721	728,811	791,503	735,786	824,956	844,839
92,976	52,953	28,952	39,142	56,722	59,747
67,840	76,708	111,890	161,238	237,491	152,086
-	-	-	-	-	-
149,611	410,267	1,125,000	(55,313)	(227,672)	176,796
<u>6,662,815</u>	<u>7,102,832</u>	<u>8,103,300</u>	<u>7,350,730</u>	<u>7,639,391</u>	<u>7,192,582</u>
39,337	26,628	11,318	14,698	12,335	17,968
27,297	24,338	19,408	20,049	13,623	72,973
-	-	-	-	-	-
(149,611)	(410,267)	(1,125,000)	55,313	227,672	(176,796)
<u>(82,977)</u>	<u>(359,301)</u>	<u>(1,094,274)</u>	<u>90,060</u>	<u>253,630</u>	<u>(85,855)</u>
<u>\$ 6,579,838</u>	<u>\$ 6,743,531</u>	<u>\$ 7,009,026</u>	<u>\$ 7,440,790</u>	<u>\$ 7,893,021</u>	<u>\$ 7,106,727</u>
\$ 1,517,341	\$ 1,681,993	\$ 2,331,835	\$ 1,969,081	\$ 1,200,416	\$ (329,382)
558,422	(116,812)	(846,116)	207,693	247,388	368,431
<u>\$ 2,075,763</u>	<u>\$ 1,565,181</u>	<u>\$ 1,485,719</u>	<u>\$ 2,176,774</u>	<u>\$ 1,447,804</u>	<u>\$ 39,049</u>



**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**TAX REVENUES BY SOURCE, GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES**  
 Last Ten Fiscal Years  
 (Accrual Basis of Accounting)

<u>Function</u>	<u>Fiscal Year</u>			
	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>
Property taxes	\$ 3,603,433	\$ 3,816,741	\$ 4,811,646	\$ 4,656,172
Sales taxes	925,496	1,001,892	902,194	787,054
Franchise and local taxes	542,324	572,664	567,042	611,240
<b>Total</b>	<u>\$ 5,071,253</u>	<u>\$ 5,391,297</u>	<u>\$ 6,280,882</u>	<u>\$ 6,054,466</u>

**Fiscal Year**

<b>2012</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2015</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>
\$ 4,564,356	\$ 4,570,211	\$ 4,696,620	\$ 4,772,360	\$ 4,973,068	\$ 4,503,923
1,019,311	1,263,882	1,349,335	1,697,517	1,774,826	1,455,191
768,721	728,811	791,503	735,786	824,956	844,839
<u>\$ 6,352,388</u>	<u>\$ 6,562,904</u>	<u>\$ 6,837,458</u>	<u>\$ 7,205,663</u>	<u>\$ 7,572,850</u>	<u>\$ 6,803,953</u>

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**FUND BALANCES, GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS**  
 Last Ten Years  
 (modified accrual basis of accounting)

	Fiscal Year			
	2008	2009	2010	2011
<b>General Fund</b>				
Nonspendable	\$ 776	\$ 785	\$ 581	\$ -
Restricted	18,393	22,983	83,235	22,954
Assigned	370,059	275,935	44,824	42,645
Unassigned	3,568,645	3,407,477	3,712,905	4,020,551
<b>Total General Fund</b>	<u>\$ 3,957,873</u>	<u>\$ 3,707,180</u>	<u>\$ 3,841,545</u>	<u>\$ 4,086,150</u>
 <b>All Other Governmental Funds</b>				
Restricted	\$ 1,094,717	\$ 14,288,457	\$ 6,341,117	\$ 3,263,127
Assigned	-	293,096	21,987	-
Unassigned	(18,191)	-	-	-
<b>Total All Other Governmental Funds</b>	<u>\$ 1,076,526</u>	<u>\$ 14,581,553</u>	<u>\$ 6,363,104</u>	<u>\$ 3,263,127</u>

**Fiscal Year**

<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>
\$ 958	\$ 616	\$ 935	\$ 54,667	\$ 3,490	\$ 8,404
22,112	28,023	58,204	93,404	144,279	82,218
32,619	3,176	3,427	5,270	31,080	-
5,516,861	5,385,160	6,399,287	7,182,653	7,125,595	3,443,365
<u>\$ 5,572,550</u>	<u>\$ 5,416,975</u>	<u>\$ 6,461,853</u>	<u>\$ 7,335,994</u>	<u>\$ 7,304,444</u>	<u>\$ 3,533,987</u>
\$ 1,475,771	\$ 1,532,577	\$ 1,461,402	\$ 1,812,801	\$ 8,919,211	\$ 2,076,553
384,630	190,588	209,367	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>\$ 1,860,401</u>	<u>\$ 1,723,165</u>	<u>\$ 1,670,769</u>	<u>\$ 1,812,801</u>	<u>\$ 8,919,211</u>	<u>\$ 2,076,553</u>

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES, GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS**  
**Last Ten Years**  
**(modified accrual basis of accounting)**

	Fiscal Year			
	2008	2009	2010	2011
<b>Revenues</b>				
Property taxes	\$ 3,821,943	\$ 4,784,351	\$ 4,717,527	\$ 4,396,138
Sales taxes	1,001,892	902,194	787,054	1,019,311
Franchise and local taxes	406,600	389,785	462,027	535,983
Licenses and permits	33,832	25,443	35,394	36,572
Intergovernmental	1,049,982	101,980	214,551	1,039,598
Fines and forfeitures	224,222	253,422	198,026	269,994
Charges for services	26,046	25,730	92,555	177,575
Investment income	327,025	194,084	123,913	92,976
Contributions and donations	6,608	1,000	147,117	3,375
Payments in lieu of taxes	166,064	177,257	149,213	232,738
Other revenue	109,495	97,752	323,510	67,840
<b>Total Revenues</b>	<b>7,173,709</b>	<b>6,952,998</b>	<b>7,250,887</b>	<b>7,872,100</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>				
General government	2,991,880	1,644,678	1,693,222	1,059,562
Public safety	1,742,088	1,732,664	1,990,372	1,954,600
Public works	894,962	1,246,457	922,042	1,986,509
Culture and recreation	263,921	362,028	366,971	393,173
Community enhancement	268,055	88,091	347,534	400,702
Civic center	-	-	159,350	318,467
Tourism promotion	17,950	24,300	37,295	42,441
Capital outlay	573,240	8,411,816	2,975,161	33,410
Debt service				
Principal	1,096,465	1,321,280	1,282,007	1,255,611
Interest and fiscal charges	643,449	976,190	824,239	828,562
<b>Total Expenditures</b>	<b>8,492,010</b>	<b>15,807,504</b>	<b>10,598,193</b>	<b>8,273,037</b>
<b>Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures</b>	<b>(1,318,301)</b>	<b>(8,854,506)</b>	<b>(3,347,306)</b>	<b>(400,937)</b>
<b>Other Financing Sources (Uses)</b>				
Proceeds from sale of capital assets/leases	-	139,787	139,787	2,750
Issuance of debt	13,915,000	2,255,000	2,255,000	-
Premium on debt	241,680	-	-	-
Payment to escrow agent	(3,267,911)	-	-	-
Transfers in	510,548	726,308	726,308	495,374
Transfers out	(89,000)	(2,281,453)	(2,281,453)	(6,190)
Refunding bonds issued	3,260,000	-	-	-
Sale of capital assets	2,318	2,782	2,782	-
<b>Total Other Financing Sources</b>	<b>14,572,635</b>	<b>842,424</b>	<b>842,424</b>	<b>491,934</b>
<b>Net Change in Fund Balances</b>	<b>\$ 13,254,334</b>	<b>\$ (8,012,082)</b>	<b>\$ (2,504,882)</b>	<b>\$ 90,997</b>
Debt service as a percentage of noncapital expenditures	24.72%	36.47%	28.74%	28.99%

**Fiscal Year**

	<b>2012</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2015</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>
\$	4,523,550	\$ 4,677,228	\$ 4,783,363	\$ 4,941,183	\$ 5,112,737	\$ 4,469,511
	1,263,882	1,349,335	1,697,517	1,774,826	1,428,294	1,455,191
	515,348	493,325	470,692	485,002	493,833	510,962
	28,625	48,008	64,802	55,531	94,027	63,733
	491,798	124,105	604,144	47,398	135,451	430,898
	260,989	295,556	255,716	312,613	321,633	284,130
	119,985	126,054	136,247	103,371	108,065	90,253
	52,953	28,952	38,069	56,651	52,700	59,621
	2,050	1,533	6,654	4,025	9,205	2,960
	213,463	298,178	265,094	339,954	322,576	333,877
	76,708	111,890	161,238	241,170	112,827	152,086
	<u>7,549,351</u>	<u>7,554,164</u>	<u>8,483,536</u>	<u>8,361,724</u>	<u>8,191,348</u>	<u>7,853,222</u>
	2,576,777	1,038,969	1,078,878	1,328,167	1,653,271	2,300,082
	2,064,095	2,050,093	2,151,798	2,326,663	5,206,802	9,667,966
	1,248,321	1,653,068	1,124,174	1,133,012	1,381,066	1,143,594
	423,189	468,025	375,242	506,636	549,252	396,328
	247,881	123,372	651,961	205,286	305,327	486,135
	276,644	317,575	376,200	383,320	365,995	398,446
	21,024	42,272	37,599	37,600	147,087	54,173
	7,092	-	-	-	-	-
	1,373,848	1,335,000	1,445,000	1,526,009	1,347,519	1,379,103
	756,016	701,942	651,736	745,346	782,300	712,850
	<u>8,994,887</u>	<u>7,730,316</u>	<u>7,892,588</u>	<u>8,192,039</u>	<u>11,738,619</u>	<u>16,538,677</u>
	(1,445,536)	(176,152)	590,948	169,685	(3,547,201)	(8,685,455)
	335,000	-	-	-	-	-
	-	700,000	-	6,990,000	-	575,000
	-	-	-	142,847	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	(8,060,417)
	1,736,162	621,997	425,225	422,328	693,928	5,778,447
	(1,586,551)	(211,730)	-	(650,000)	-	(5,412,417)
	-	-	-	-	-	8,045,000
	-	-	-	-	-	-
	<u>484,611</u>	<u>1,110,267</u>	<u>425,225</u>	<u>6,905,175</u>	<u>693,928</u>	<u>925,613</u>
\$	<u>(960,925)</u>	<u>\$ 934,115</u>	<u>\$ 1,016,173</u>	<u>\$ 7,074,860</u>	<u>\$ (2,853,273)</u>	<u>\$ (7,759,842)</u>
	30.69%	29.52%	27.68%	29.70%	25.58%	23.37%

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**ASSESSED VALUE AND ESTIMATED ACTUAL VALUE OF TAXABLE PROPERTY**  
**Last Ten Years**

	Fiscal Year			
	2008	2009	2010	2011
Land	\$ 92,891,191	\$ 98,818,451	\$ 105,503,151	\$ 111,463,136
Improvements	299,417,041	307,394,663	320,343,413	325,574,941
Personal	457,805,580	420,205,320	384,786,515	471,652,050
Minerals	249,380	241,220	795,454	619,194
Less: Exempt, productivity loss and homestead cap	153,327,272	175,203,872	192,768,814	193,299,492
<b>Total Taxable Assessed Valuation (1)</b>	<b>\$ 697,035,920</b>	<b>\$ 651,455,782</b>	<b>\$ 618,659,719</b>	<b>\$ 716,009,829</b>
Full Market Valuation	\$ 850,363,192	\$ 826,659,654	\$ 811,428,533	\$ 909,309,321
Total Direct Tax Rate	\$ 0.6349	\$ 0.6852	\$ 0.7256	\$ 0.7245

Source: Liberty County Appraisal District

(1) All property is assessed at 100% of actual taxable value.

**Fiscal Year**

<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>
\$ 113,343,078	\$ 113,273,194	\$ 115,590,185	\$ 151,820,540	\$ 167,980,167	\$ 212,585,447
330,366,373	331,979,823	338,033,726	349,124,036	373,661,466	438,830,023
421,330,420	396,168,790	447,953,050	456,393,110	331,862,170	368,548,224
896,228	867,679	913,741	58,499	31,431	45,040
<u>188,239,525</u>	<u>187,542,607</u>	<u>189,455,245</u>	<u>203,376,421</u>	<u>214,898,945</u>	<u>274,412,135</u>
<u>\$ 677,696,574</u>	<u>\$ 654,746,879</u>	<u>\$ 713,035,457</u>	<u>\$ 754,019,764</u>	<u>\$ 658,636,289</u>	<u>\$ 745,596,599</u>
\$ 865,936,099	\$ 842,289,486	\$ 902,490,702	\$ 957,396,185	\$ 873,535,234	\$ 1,020,008,734
\$ 0.6304	\$ 0.6853	\$ 0.7229	\$ 0.6900	\$ 0.6800	\$ 0.6800



**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**PROPERTY TAX RATES - DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING GOVERNMENTS**  
**Last Ten Years**

	Fiscal Year			
	2008	2009	2010	2011
<b>City of Dayton:</b>				
Operating tax rate	\$ 0.4902	\$ 0.4550	\$ 0.4446	\$ 0.4835
Debt service tax rate	0.1447	0.2302	0.2810	0.2410
<b>Total Direct Rates</b>	<b>\$ 0.6349</b>	<b>\$ 0.6852</b>	<b>\$ 0.7256</b>	<b>\$ 0.7245</b>
Liberty County	\$ 0.5600	\$ 0.5600	\$ 0.5600	\$ 0.5600
Hospital District	0.0900	0.0900	0.0900	0.0900
Dayton ISD	1.2200	1.2080	1.2080	1.2080
Drainage District #1 (2)	0.0913	0.0878	0.0799	0.1106
<b>Total Direct and Overlapping Rates (1)</b>	<b>\$ 1.961300</b>	<b>\$ 1.945800</b>	<b>\$ 1.937900</b>	<b>\$ 1.968600</b>
<b>Total Assessed Valuation</b>	<b>\$ 697,035,920</b>	<b>\$ 651,455,782</b>	<b>\$ 618,659,719</b>	<b>\$ 716,009,829</b>

Notes: 1) The basis for property tax rates is per \$100 of the assessed valuation.

2) Drainage District #1 has a partial overlapping area.

Source: Liberty County Appraisal District

**Fiscal Year**

<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>
\$ 0.4262	\$ 0.4971	\$ 0.4509	\$ 0.4440	\$ 0.4510	\$ 0.4510
0.2042	0.1882	0.2720	0.2460	0.2290	0.2290
<u>\$ 0.6304</u>	<u>\$ 0.6853</u>	<u>\$ 0.7229</u>	<u>\$ 0.6900</u>	<u>\$ 0.6800</u>	<u>\$ 0.6800</u>
\$ 0.5600	\$ 0.5600	\$ 0.5788	\$ 0.5788	\$ 0.5788	\$ 0.5788
0.0900	0.0900	0.0900	0.0900	0.0900	0.0900
1.2080	1.2080	1.2080	1.1980	1.4217	1.3900
0.0917	0.0974	0.0987	0.0944	0.0890	0.0776
<u>\$ 1.949700</u>	<u>\$ 1.955400</u>	<u>\$ 1.975500</u>	<u>\$ 1.961200</u>	<u>\$ 2.179500</u>	<u>\$ 2.136400</u>
<u>\$ 677,696,574</u>	<u>\$ 654,746,879</u>	<u>\$ 713,035,457</u>	<u>\$ 754,019,764</u>	<u>\$ 658,636,289</u>	<u>\$ 745,596,599</u>

(This page intentionally left blank.)

# CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS

## PRINCIPAL PROPERTY TAXPAYERS

Current Year and Nine Years Ago

Property Taxpayer	2017			2008		
	Taxable Assessed Valuation	Rank	% of Total Assessed Valuation	Taxable Assessed Valuation	Rank	% of Total Assessed Valuation
EXXON CHEMICAL AMERICAS	\$ 61,402,360	1	8.24%	\$ 87,307,240	2	12.53%
TOTAL PETROCHEMICALS USA	39,008,150	2	5.23%	56,552,680	3	8.11%
EQUISTAR CHEMICALS LP	28,269,440	3	3.79%	737,060	54	0.11%
TRT LEASECO LLC	17,886,320	4	2.40%	-	-	0.00%
GLOBAL TUBING	17,731,770	5	2.38%	-	-	0.00%
OXY VINYLs LP	17,724,680	6	2.38%	5,905,150	10	0.85%
WESTLAKE POLYMERS LP	14,856,300	7	1.99%	-	-	0.00%
INSTEEL WIRE PRODUCTS CO	14,176,250	8	1.90%	18,863,520	7	2.71%
CIT GROUP/CAPITAL FINANCE	9,700,000	9	1.30%	408,080	88	0.06%
SAM'S EAST INC	8,895,040	10	1.19%	5,406,790	12	0.78%
CHEVERON PHILLIPS	-	-	0.00%	108,180,180	1	15.52%
BASELL USA INC	-	-	0.00%	47,464,620	4	6.81%
SUNOCO (R&M) INC	-	-	0.00%	22,714,120	5	3.26%
CMC RAILROAD III D INC	-	-	0.00%	19,655,400	6	2.82%
INEOS OLEFINS & POLYMERS	-	-	0.00%	17,621,490	8	2.53%
CMC RAILROAD III C INC	-	-	0.00%	6,800,640	9	0.98%
Subtotal	<u>229,650,310</u>		<u>30.80%</u>	<u>397,616,970</u>		<u>57.04%</u>
Other Taxpayers	<u>515,946,289</u>		<u>69.20%</u>	<u>299,418,950</u>		<u>42.96%</u>
<b>Total <sup>(1)</sup></b>	<u><u>\$ 745,596,599</u></u>		<u><u>100.00%</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 697,035,920</u></u>		<u><u>100.00%</u></u>

Source: Liberty County Appraisal District

(1) Net of exemptions and abatements

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**PROPERTY TAX LEVIES AND COLLECTIONS**  
**Last Ten Years**

<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Tax Year</b>	<b>Tax Rate</b>	<b>Total Tax Levy for Fiscal Year</b>
2008	2007	\$ 0.6349	\$ 4,806,066
2009	2008	\$ 0.6852	\$ 4,748,399
2010	2009	\$ 0.7256	\$ 4,481,991
2011	2010	\$ 0.7245	\$ 4,548,586
2012	2011	\$ 0.6304	\$ 4,701,368
2013	2012	\$ 0.6853	\$ 4,817,619
2014	2013	\$ 0.7229	\$ 4,904,072
2015	2014	\$ 0.6900	\$ 4,899,505
2016	2015	\$ 0.6800	\$ 5,088,985
2017	2016	\$ 0.6800	\$ 4,426,082

Source: Liberty County Tax Office

<b>Collected Within the Fiscal Year of the Levy</b>		<b>Collected in Subsequent Years</b>	<b>Total Collections to Date</b>	
<b>Amount Collected</b>	<b>Percentage of Levy</b>	<b>Amount Collected</b>	<b>Amount Collected</b>	<b>Percentage of Levy</b>
\$ 3,790,057	78.86%	\$ 991,749	\$ 4,781,806	99.50%
\$ 4,628,535	97.48%	\$ 88,171	\$ 4,716,706	99.33%
\$ 4,385,718	97.85%	\$ 52,515	\$ 4,438,233	99.02%
\$ 4,307,302	94.70%	\$ 185,865	\$ 4,493,167	98.78%
\$ 4,506,192	95.85%	\$ 147,372	\$ 4,653,564	98.98%
\$ 4,641,604	96.35%	\$ 124,869	\$ 4,766,473	98.94%
\$ 4,591,742	93.63%	\$ 252,332	\$ 4,844,074	98.78%
\$ 4,779,250	97.55%	\$ 56,090	\$ 4,835,340	98.69%
\$ 4,968,991	97.64%	\$ 40,246	\$ 5,009,237	98.43%
\$ 4,294,524	97.03%	\$ -	\$ 4,294,524	97.03%

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**RATIOS OF OUTSTANDING DEBT BY TYPE**  
**Last Ten Years**

	Fiscal Year			
	2008	2009	2010	2011
<b>Governmental Activities:</b>				
General obligation bonds	\$ 16,515,000	\$ 16,190,000	\$ 15,860,000	\$ 15,520,000
Certificates of obligation	1,360,000	1,105,000	840,000	570,000
Tax anticipation notes	1,000,000	2,565,000	1,960,000	1,340,000
Capital leases	105,204	193,711	111,705	358,436
Premiums	241,680	226,831	211,982	197,133
Less deferred amounts	(7,911)	(7,120)	(6,329)	(5,537)
<b>Subtotal</b>	<u>19,213,973</u>	<u>20,273,422</u>	<u>18,977,358</u>	<u>17,980,032</u>
<b>Business-Type Activities:</b>				
General obligation bonds	-	-	-	-
Certificates of obligation	8,500,000	8,400,000	8,290,000	8,175,000
Capital leases	-	-	-	-
Premiums	-	-	-	-
<b>Subtotal</b>	<u>8,500,000</u>	<u>8,400,000</u>	<u>8,290,000</u>	<u>8,175,000</u>
<b>Government-Wide:</b>				
General obligation bonds	16,515,000	16,190,000	15,860,000	15,520,000
Certificates of obligation	9,860,000	9,505,000	9,130,000	8,745,000
Tax anticipation notes	1,000,000	2,565,000	1,960,000	1,340,000
Capital leases	105,204	193,711	111,705	358,436
Premiums	241,680	226,831	211,982	197,133
Less deferred amounts	(7,911)	(7,120)	(6,329)	(5,537)
<b>Total Government-Wide</b>	<u>\$ 27,713,973</u>	<u>\$ 28,673,422</u>	<u>\$ 27,267,358</u>	<u>\$ 26,155,032</u>
<b>Estimated Full Property Value</b>	\$ 850,363,192	\$ 826,659,654	\$ 811,428,533	\$ 909,309,321
<b>Percentage of Full Property Value:</b>				
Governmental activities	2.26%	2.45%	2.34%	1.98%
Business-type activities	1.00%	1.02%	1.02%	0.90%
Government-wide	3.26%	3.47%	3.36%	2.88%
<b>Population</b>	7,774	7,441	7,242	7,297
<b>Debt Per Capita:</b>				
Governmental activities	\$ 2,472	\$ 2,725	\$ 2,620	\$ 2,464
Business-type activities	\$ 1,093	\$ 1,129	\$ 1,145	\$ 1,120
Government-wide	\$ 3,565	\$ 3,853	\$ 3,765	\$ 3,584

Note: Details regarding the City's outstanding debt can be found in the notes to the financial statements.

**Fiscal Year**

	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>
\$	14,825,000	\$ 14,110,000	\$ 13,120,000	\$ 12,095,000	\$ 10,665,000	\$ 10,285,000
	290,000	-	-	6,990,000	6,860,000	6,680,000
	1,725,000	1,395,000	940,000	470,000	355,000	815,000
	309,248	281,053	251,485	220,476	187,957	153,854
	185,537	173,941	162,345	293,596	274,667	148,034
	(4,745)	(3,956)	(3,164)	(2,373)	(1,582)	(206,577)
	<u>17,330,040</u>	<u>15,956,038</u>	<u>14,470,666</u>	<u>20,066,699</u>	<u>18,341,042</u>	<u>17,875,311</u>
	-	-	-	-	7,240,000	6,880,000
	8,060,000	7,940,000	7,820,000	7,690,000	195,000	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-
	<u>8,060,000</u>	<u>7,940,000</u>	<u>7,820,000</u>	<u>7,690,000</u>	<u>7,435,000</u>	<u>6,880,000</u>
	14,825,000	14,110,000	13,120,000	12,095,000	17,905,000	17,165,000
	8,350,000	7,940,000	7,820,000	14,680,000	7,055,000	6,680,000
	1,725,000	1,395,000	940,000	470,000	355,000	815,000
	309,248	281,053	251,485	220,476	187,957	153,854
	185,537	173,941	162,345	293,596	274,667	148,034
	(4,745)	(3,956)	(3,164)	(2,373)	(1,582)	(206,577)
\$	<u>25,390,040</u>	<u>\$ 23,896,038</u>	<u>\$ 22,290,666</u>	<u>\$ 27,756,699</u>	<u>\$ 25,776,042</u>	<u>\$ 24,755,311</u>
\$	865,936,099	\$ 842,289,486	\$ 902,490,702	\$ 957,396,185	\$ 873,535,234	\$ 1,020,008,734
	2.00%	1.89%	1.60%	2.10%	2.10%	1.75%
	0.93%	0.94%	0.87%	0.80%	0.85%	0.67%
	2.93%	2.84%	2.47%	2.90%	2.95%	2.43%
	7,340	7,390	7,418	7,620	7,725	8,200
\$	2,361	\$ 2,159	\$ 1,951	\$ 2,633	\$ 2,374	\$ 2,180
\$	1,098	\$ 1,074	\$ 1,054	\$ 1,009	\$ 962	\$ 839
\$	3,459	\$ 3,234	\$ 3,005	\$ 3,643	\$ 3,337	\$ 3,019



**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**RATIOS OF GENERAL BONDED DEBT OUTSTANDING**  
 Last Ten Years

	Fiscal Year			
	2008	2009	2010	2011
<b>Estimated Actual Taxable Value of Property</b>	\$ 697,035,920	\$ 651,455,782	\$ 618,659,719	\$ 716,009,829
General obligation bonds	\$ 16,515,000	\$ 16,190,000	\$ 15,860,000	\$ 15,520,000
Certificates of obligation	9,860,000	9,505,000	9,130,000	8,745,000
Tax anticipation notes	1,000,000	2,565,000	1,960,000	1,340,000
Premiums	241,680	226,831	211,982	197,133
Less: amounts available in debt service fund	1,123,687	1,194,865	1,440,671	1,475,771
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 26,492,993</b>	<b>\$ 27,291,966</b>	<b>\$ 25,721,311</b>	<b>\$ 24,326,362</b>
<b>Percentage of Estimated Actual Taxable Value of Property</b>	3.80%	4.19%	4.16%	3.40%
<b>Population</b>	8,087	7,774	7,441	7,242
<b>Per Capita</b>	\$ 3,276	\$ 3,511	\$ 3,457	\$ 3,359

Note: Details regarding the City's outstanding debt can be found in the notes to the financial statements.

<b>Fiscal Year</b>					
<b>2012</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2015</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>
\$ 677,696,574	\$ 654,746,879	\$ 713,035,457	\$ 754,019,764	\$ 658,636,289	\$ 745,596,599
\$ 14,825,000	\$ 14,110,000	\$ 13,120,000	\$ 12,095,000	\$ 10,665,000	\$ 10,285,000
8,350,000	7,940,000	7,820,000	6,990,000	6,860,000	6,680,000
1,725,000	1,395,000	940,000	470,000	355,000	815,000
185,537	173,941	162,345	293,596	274,667	148,034
1,532,577	1,461,402	1,582,429	1,671,444	1,721,722	1,841,424
<u>\$ 23,552,960</u>	<u>\$ 22,157,539</u>	<u>\$ 20,459,916</u>	<u>\$ 18,177,152</u>	<u>\$ 16,432,945</u>	<u>\$ 16,086,610</u>
3.48%	3.38%	2.87%	2.41%	2.49%	2.16%
7,297	7,340	7,390	7,418	7,725	8,200
\$ 3,228	\$ 3,019	\$ 2,769	\$ 2,450	\$ 2,127	\$ 1,962

(This page intentionally left blank.)

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES DEBT**  
September 30, 2017

<b>Government Unit</b>	<b>Debt Outstanding</b>	<b>Estimated Percentage Applicable*</b>	<b>Amount Applicable to Primary Government</b>
Dayton ISD	\$ 96,480,120	45.46%	\$ 43,859,863
Liberty County	\$ 12,906,834	17.23%	2,223,847
Liberty ISD	\$ 51,896,260	0.04%	20,759
Subtotal, overlapping debt			46,104,469
<b>City Direct Debt</b>		100.00%	16,086,610
	<b>Total Direct and Overlapping Debt</b>		<b>\$ 62,191,079</b>
<b>Population</b>			8,200
<b>Overlapping Debt Per Capita</b>			\$ 5,622
<b>Direct and Overlapping Debt Per Capita</b>			\$ 7,584

Source: Tax department records of the various governments.

\* The "Estimated Percentage Applicable" is determined by dividing the City's certified taxable value within the taxing jurisdiction by the certified taxable value of the taxing jurisdiction.

Note: Overlapping governments are those that coincide, at least in part, with geographic boundaries of the City. This schedule estimates the portion of the outstanding debt of those overlapping governments that is borne by the property taxpayers of the City. This process recognizes that, when considering the City's ability to issue and repay long-term debt, the entire burden borne by the property taxpayers should be taken into account.

# CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS

## LEGAL DEBT MARGIN INFORMATION

Last Ten Years

	Fiscal Year			
	2008	2009	2010	2011
Debt limit <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 69,703,592	\$ 65,145,578	\$ 61,865,972	\$ 71,600,983
Total net debt applicable to limit	26,492,993	27,291,966	25,721,311	24,326,362
Legal debt margin	<u>\$ 43,210,599</u>	<u>\$ 37,853,612</u>	<u>\$ 36,144,661</u>	<u>\$ 47,274,621</u>
Total net debt applicable to the limit as a percentage of debt limit	38.01%	41.89%	41.58%	33.97%
<b>Legal Debt Margin Calculation</b>				
Assessed value	\$ 697,035,920	\$ 651,455,782	\$ 618,659,719	\$ 716,009,829
Debt limit (10% of assessed value)	69,703,592	65,145,578	61,865,972	71,600,983
Debt applicable to limit:				
General obligation bonds	16,515,000	16,190,000	15,860,000	15,520,000
Certificates of obligation	9,860,000	9,505,000	9,130,000	8,745,000
Tax anticipation notes	1,000,000	2,565,000	1,960,000	1,340,000
Premiums	241,680	226,831	211,982	197,133
Less: amount set aside for repayment of general obligation debt	<u>(1,123,687)</u>	<u>(1,194,865)</u>	<u>(1,440,671)</u>	<u>(1,475,771)</u>
Total net debt applicable to limit	26,492,993	27,291,966	25,721,311	24,326,362
Legal debt margin	<u>\$ 43,210,599</u>	<u>\$ 37,853,612</u>	<u>\$ 36,144,661</u>	<u>\$ 47,274,621</u>

(1) There is no debt limit established by law; therefore, the limit is governed by the City's ability to levy and collect taxes to service the debt. The Attorney General of the State of Texas will not approve more than \$1.00 of the tax rate for debt service. The City's maximum legal tax rate is \$2.50 per \$100 valuation assessed at 100% of the market value. Ten percent of the assessed value has been applied as a "rule of thumb" procedure.

**Fiscal Year**

<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>
\$ 67,769,657	\$ 65,474,688	\$ 71,303,546	\$ 71,303,546	\$ 65,863,629	\$ 74,559,660
23,552,960	22,157,539	20,459,916	25,867,152	23,867,945	22,966,610
<u>\$ 44,216,697</u>	<u>\$ 43,317,149</u>	<u>\$ 50,843,630</u>	<u>\$ 45,436,394</u>	<u>\$ 41,995,684</u>	<u>\$ 51,593,050</u>
34.75%	33.84%	28.69%	36.28%	36.24%	30.80%
\$ 677,696,574	\$ 654,746,879	\$ 713,035,457	\$ 713,035,457	\$ 658,636,289	\$ 745,596,599
67,769,657	65,474,688	71,303,546	71,303,546	65,863,629	74,559,660
14,825,000	14,110,000	13,120,000	12,095,000	17,905,000	17,165,000
8,350,000	7,940,000	7,820,000	14,680,000	7,055,000	6,680,000
1,725,000	1,395,000	940,000	470,000	355,000	815,000
185,537	173,941	162,345	293,596	274,667	148,034
(1,532,577)	(1,461,402)	(1,582,429)	(1,671,444)	(1,721,722)	(1,841,424)
<u>23,552,960</u>	<u>22,157,539</u>	<u>20,459,916</u>	<u>25,867,152</u>	<u>23,867,945</u>	<u>22,966,610</u>
<u>\$ 44,216,697</u>	<u>\$ 43,317,149</u>	<u>\$ 50,843,630</u>	<u>\$ 45,436,394</u>	<u>\$ 41,995,684</u>	<u>\$ 51,593,050</u>

(This page intentionally left blank.)

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**DEMOGRAPHIC AND ECONOMIC STATISTICS**  
 Last Ten Years

<b>Fiscal Year Ended Sept. 30</b>	<b>Population<sup>(1)</sup></b>	<b>Personal Income<sup>(2)</sup></b>	<b>Per Capita Personal Income<sup>(2)</sup></b>	<b>Median Age<sup>(2)</sup></b>	<b>Public School Enrollment<sup>(3)</sup></b>	<b>Unemployment Rate<sup>(4)</sup></b>	<b>Education Level in Years of Formal Schooling<sup>(5)</sup></b>
2008	7,774	\$ 2,452,877	\$ 32,742	n/a	4,748	6.0%	n/a
2009	7,441	\$ 2,408,044	\$ 32,090	35.3	4,889	10.0%	9.6%
2010	7,242	\$ 2,446,339	\$ 32,261	34.5	4,906	11.1%	9.2%
2011	7,297	\$ 2,597,775	\$ 34,209	33.3	4,945	10.7%	11.5%
2012	7,340	\$ 2,778,585	\$ 36,393	33.7	5,067	9.0%	12.9%
2013	7,390	\$ 2,830,077	\$ 36,799	32.4	5,069	8.1%	11.3%
2014	7,418	\$ 2,721,537	\$ 34,839	35.6	5,137	6.5%	n/a
2015	7,620	n/a	n/a	33.0	5,204	7.1%	n/a
2016	7,725	\$ 2,721,537	\$ 23,269	35.9	5,382	8.5%	9.0%
2017	8,200	\$ n/a	\$ 43,055	34.5	5,388	n/a	10.2%

Data sources:

- (1) City of Dayton Planning Department
- (2) CDS Market Research/Claritas Survey/ESRI Data Source
- (3) Dayton ISD
- (4) Texas Workforce Commission
- (5) Percentage of population with Bachelor's degree or higher

Note: Personal income is a total for the year. Unemployment rate information is an adjusted yearly average. School enrollment is based on the start of the school year.



(This page intentionally left blank.)

# CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS

## PRINCIPAL EMPLOYERS

Current Year <sup>(2)</sup>

<u>Employer</u>	<u>2017</u>		<u>Rank</u>
	<u>Employees</u>	<u>Percentage of Total Employment<sup>(1)</sup></u>	
Dayton Independent School District	765	1.16%	1
Global Tubing	220	0.33%	2
City of Dayton	117	0.18%	3
Insteel Wire	103	0.16%	4
Dayton Leasing and Supply	100	0.15%	5
Huntsman Chemical	100	0.15%	6
Roll - Lift USA	80	0.12%	7
Sam's Distribution	53	0.08%	8
Harris Rebar LLC	50	0.08%	9
Fabrication and Construction Serices	46	0.07%	10
	<u>1,634</u>	<u>2.47%</u>	

(1) Percentage of total employment is based on total employment of 66,171

(2) The requirement is for the current year and nine years ago, however, only the current year is available at this time.

Source: Human Resource Department of each company

(This page intentionally left blank.)

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT EMPLOYEES BY FUNCTION**  
 Last Ten Years

	Fiscal Year									
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
<b><u>Governmental Activities:</u></b>										
General government	13.00	13.00	14.25	13.25	14.00	14.00	14.00	15.00	13.00	14.00
Public safety	21.00	23.00	24.00	25.00	24.00	26.00	26.00	28.00	29.00	29.00
Public works	12.50	9.50	10.50	13.50	11.50	13.50	13.50	13.50	14.00	14.00
Culture and recreation	-	-	0.75	1.75	2.75	3.00	4.00	4.00	9.00	9.00
Facility maintenance	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	4.00	4.00
<b><u>Business-Type Activities:</u></b>										
Water and sewer	9.25	14.50	16.50	15.50	15.75	15.50	16.50	16.50	18.00	18.00
Refuse	1.25	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	-
<b>Total City Positions</b>	<b><u>59.00</u></b>	<b><u>63.00</u></b>	<b><u>69.00</u></b>	<b><u>72.00</u></b>	<b><u>71.00</u></b>	<b><u>75.00</u></b>	<b><u>77.00</u></b>	<b><u>80.00</u></b>	<b><u>87.00</u></b>	<b><u>88.00</u></b>

Source: City Finance Department

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**OPERATING INDICATORS BY FUNCTION**  
 Last Ten Years

	Fiscal Year			
	2008	2009	2010	2011
<b>City of Dayton</b>				
Population	7,774	7,441	7,242	7,297
Budgeted full-time employees	59	63	69	72
<b>Function</b>				
<b>Police</b>				
Arrests	460	481	462	579
Accident reports	642	655	561	650
Citations	5,764	4,390	4,144	4,850
Offense reports	734	752	626	708
Calls for service	10,382	10,281	9,127	9,832
<b>Municipal court</b>				
Traffic violations	2,120	2,284	2,694	3,149
Non-traffic violations	3,871	2,106	1,450	1,701
<b>Fire</b>				
Emergency responses	461	398	427	470
Fire incidents	183	165	235	210
Average response time (min)†	8.5	8.5	8.5	8.5
<b>Parks and recreation</b>				
Recreation programs (\$)	\$ 15,490	\$ 15,759	\$ 17,235	\$ 16,866
Field and facility rentals (\$)	\$ 9,722	\$ 9,680	\$ 9,349	\$ 9,700
<b>Community development</b>				
Residential building permits issued	36	21	2	24
Residential building permits-value	\$ 4,006,450	\$ 2,740,189	\$ 95,000	\$ 288,699
Commercial building permits issued	7	10	3	7
Commercial building permits-value	\$ 3,813,425	\$ 8,720,600	\$ 881,500	\$ 3,698,000
<b>Water</b>				
Average daily gallons pumped-combined water (millions of gallons)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Average daily gallons pumped-surface water (millions of gallons)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Average daily gallons pumped-well water (millions of gallons)	1,421,871	1,374,191	1,512,147	1,510,553
Number of connections	2,429	2,447	2,434	2,495
<b>Wastewater</b>				
Average daily effluent treated (millions of gallons)	1.47	1.51	1.32	1.22
Number of connections	2,157	2,177	2,191	2,702

Source: Various City departments

† Source: Dayton Police Dept.

Fiscal Year						
2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	
7,340	7,390	7,418	7,620	7,782	8,200	
71	75	77	80	86	88	
400	488	662	571	532	420	
475	459	493	554	580	345	
4,491	3,245	3,849	2,212	3,177	7,136	
707	784	795	790	799	860	
8,147	9,236	9,471	9,676	9,943	11,852	
1,842	914	1,122	2,387	3,124	3,118	
2,649	2,529	2,195	419	246	418	
364	391	435	379	284	315	
134	133	127	102	128	123	
8.5	8.5	8.5	6.5	8.0	8.0	
\$ 19,716	\$ 21,382	\$ 21,116	\$ 22,338	\$ 27,924	\$ 30,893	
\$ 11,100	\$ 8,250	\$ 10,500	\$ 8,550	\$ 10,700	\$ 10,700	
12	8	15	17	59	67	
\$ 1,407,375	\$ 1,541,693	\$ 1,598,305	\$ 2,346,129	\$ 6,698,215	\$ 8,238,896	
7	3	7	7	7	4	
\$ 2,323,000	\$ 3,650,000	\$ 4,697,957	\$ 2,929,957	\$ 20,823,990	\$ 1,453,650	
n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
1,342,002	1,185,677	1,188,172	1,464,653	1,627,354	1,345,516	
3,043	3,072	3,176	3,097	3,130	2,774	
1.64	1.38	1.38	1.99	2.01	2.01	
2,712	2,743	2,842	2,512	2,772	2,380	

**CITY OF DAYTON, TEXAS**  
**CAPITAL ASSET STATISTICS BY FUNCTION**  
 Last Ten Years

Function	Fiscal Year			
	2008	2009	2010	2011
<u>General government</u>				
Municipal buildings	1	1	1	1
City vehicles	41	41	46	48
<u>Public safety</u>				
Police protection				
Stations	1	1	1	1
Fire protection				
Stations/drill field	1	1	1	1
Fire apparatus	1	1	1	1
<u>Public works</u>				
Streets (lane miles)	90.1	90.1	90.1	90.1
<u>Community services</u>				
Parks	4	5	5	5
Ball fields	1	1	1	1
Swimming pools	1	1	1	1
Park acreage developed	44.75	49.75	49.75	49.75
<u>Water</u>				
Water wells	3	3	3	3
Ground/elevated storage tanks	7	7	7	7
Water mains (miles)	64	64	64	64
Fire hydrants	900	900	900	900
<u>Wastewater</u>				
Wastewater treatment plants	1	1	1	1
Sanitary sewers (miles)	50	50	50	50
Sewer manholes	528	528	528	528

Source: Various City departments

**Fiscal Year**

<b>2012</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2015</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>
1 52	1 52	1 54	1 54	1 55	1 57
1	1	1	1	1	1
1 1	1 1	1 1	3 10	3 10	4 10
90.1	90.1	90.1	90.1	90.1	90.1
5 1 1 49.75	5 1 1 49.75	5 1 1 49.75	5 1 1 49.75	5 1 1 49.75	5 1 1 49.75
3 6 64 900	3 6 64 900	3 6 64 900	3 6 64 905	3 6 65 910	3 6 65 910
1 50 528	1 50 528	1 50 528	1 50 528	1 51 532	1 51 532



(This page intentionally left blank.)